## Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



A98 Cup.2

# BAKED PRODUCTS:

Consumer Quality, Composition, Yield, and Preparation Time of Various Market Forms U. S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE NATIONAL POPULITION LIDBARY JUL 3 - 1957

-158-11. .....

Home Economics Research Report No. 22

Agricultural Research Service



## **BAKED PRODUCTS:**

Consumer Quality, Composition, Yield, and Preparation Time of Various Market Forms

> By Ruth H. Matthews Elizabeth W. Murphy Anne C. Marsh Elsie H. Dawson

Home Economics Research Report No. 22

Human Nutrition Research Division Agricultural Research Service UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Washington, D.C.

**Issued July 1963** 

## CONTENTS

	T age
Summary	1
Purpose of the investigation	1
Types of foods investigated	2
Laboratory procedures and analytical methods	3
Preparation time, yield, and quality measurements	3
Proximate composition and mineral element analyses	10
Results and discussion	11
Preparation time, yield, and quality measurements	11
Proximate composition and mineral element content	20
Literature cited	39

## TABLES

Т	a	b	e
1	1	~	

Figure

- N0	•
1.	CAKES: Ingredients used in homemade formulas and ingredients added to
2.	COOKIES: Ingredients used in homemade formulas and ingredients added to
3	PIES: Ingredients used in homemade formulas and ingredients added to mixes
4.	BREADS: Ingredients used in homemade formulas and ingredients added to mixes
5.	FROSTINGS: Ingredients used in homemade formulas and ingredients added to mixes
6.	BAKED PRODUCTS: Reference size of individual servings relative to volume and weight of the homemade product.
7.	CAKES: Yield and number of servings of homemade, partly prepared, and ready- to-serve forms
8.	Cookies: Yield and number of servings of homemade, partly prepared, and ready-to-serve forms
9.	PIES: Yield and number of servings of homemade, partly prepared, and ready- to-serve forms
10.	BREADS: Yield and number of servings of homemade, partly prepared, and ready-to-serve forms
11.	FROSTINGS: Yield and number of servings of homemade and partly prepared forms
12.	Proximate composition of ready-to-serve baked products prepared from different market forms
13.	Proximate composition and labeled ingredients of market-purchased dry mixes
14.	Proximate composition of ready-to-bake food items
15.	Proximate composition of ready-to-serve cakes and brownies, with and without frosting and filling
16.	Mineral content of cake and brownie mixes, as purchased

## ILLUSTRATIONS

2	60.	Page
1.	Preparation times, including active and total time, for angel food, devil's food, and	
	yellow cakes, and pies	11
2.	Preparation times, including active and total time, for baking powder biscuits,	
	pancakes, waffles, and veast rolls	12
3.	Specific volumes of baked cakes of different market forms	13
4.	Compressibility of four kinds of baked products	19
5.	Shear-force readings of four kinds of baked products	20
6.	Proximate composition and caloric values of different baked products-all com-	
	mercial forms combined and expressed as percentages of homemade forms	25
7.	Proximate composition and caloric values of different market forms of baked	
	products, expressed as percentages of values for homemade products	26
8.	Percentages of fat and protein in different brands of vellow and white cake mixes	
	obtained in different years	27
		2.

Mention in this publication of commercially manufactured equipment does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture over similar equipment not mentioned.

Dogo

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Amanda W. Schnid, Jennie L. Brogdon, Gabriele Hemmersbach, and JoElissa Larsen for their assistance in the preparation of the samples; Susie Hagan, Blanche Mason, Roberta Vegors, and Katrine White, who assisted in the proximate composition analyses; Homer T. Hopkins, who conducted the mineral analyses; and Lillian Fincher and Annabel Merrill, who calculated conversion factors for caloric and protein values.

iv

## **BAKED PRODUCTS:** Consumer Quality,

## **Composition, Yield, and Preparation**

## **Time of Various Market Forms**

By RUTH H. MATTHEWS, ELIZABETH W. MURPHY, ANNE C. MARSH, and ELSIE H. DAWSON

Human Nutrition Research Division, Agricultural Research Service

#### SUMMARY

Preparation time and yield were determined for 106 homemade and commercial forms of baked products, including breads, cakes, pies, cookies, and frostings. Objective measurements of compressibility and shear force were determined for 87 baked products.

Proximate composition of homemade and commercial forms of baked products was determined for 72 ready-to-serve, 21 ready-to-bake, and 62 dry-mix items. In addition, eight mineral elements were determined on nine cake and brownie mixes, by using emission spectroscopy.

Commercial forms of baked products saved active time (time requiring constant attention) and, except for some frozen products and a few mixes, saved total time for food preparation. Mixes saved less active preparation time than did other commercial forms of baked products.

Compressibility measurements on cakes, corn muffins, and yeast rolls prepared from mixes were usually higher, an indication of greater softness, than those on other processed or homemade forms of these products.

Shear-force readings on baking powder biscuits, sugar cookies, pie crusts, pancakes, and waffles varied considerably among the forms; i.e., frozen, mix, or homemade. Cookies baked from some mixes and from refrigerated (chilled in roll) dough, and pancakes and waffles made from mixes were more tender than the corresponding homemade products. The frozen form of waffles or pancakes was consistently less tender than other forms investigated, but frozen baking powder biscuits, when baked, were equal to the homemade biscuits in tenderness.

Commercial products were usually higher in moisture and ash, and lower in fat, protein, and energy value than the corresponding homemade items. With few exceptions, proximate composition of dry mixes varied little among brands or over the period of time covered by the study for the same brand of a given mix type. Frostings from frozen and bakery cakes were equal to or greater than the cakes in fat, carbohydrate, and caloric values per 100 grams.

Although whole pies lost weight through evaporation losses during baking and cooling, the crust gained in weight and moisture content because of release of moisture from the filling. For most other ready-to-bake items, moisture losses during cooking were usually between 20 and 30 percent, with accompanying smaller increases in values of other proximate components.

Spectrographic analyses for minerals in dry cake or brownie mixes indicated that baked products made from the mixes contained approximately the same levels of calcium and phosphorus, and two-thirds the amounts of sodium and potassium, of corresponding homemade items.

#### PURPOSE OF THE INVESTIGATION

Baked products are a prominent part of the diet of most Americans. Three out of four home-makers in the United States in 1955 baked cake, pie, or some kind of quick bread each week (12).<sup>1</sup> A substantial number of these homemakers baked

their products from mixes. The success of such mixes in receiving consumer acceptance has en-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Italic numbers in parentheses refer to Literature Cited, p. 39.

couraged manufacturers to make available even more partly prepared forms of baked goods. Frozen and chilled dough forms of baked products, in particular, are becoming increasingly available.

The consumer is being forced constantly to select from the various commercial forms of baked goods. Information on relative preparation times, yield, cost, and quality of homemade and commercial forms of baked goods is needed, so that she can choose intelligently in terms of time and money and of the eating quality of the foods. Information on nutrient content is also needed, since the different forms are not necessarily equal in nutritive value.

More and more special ingredients are used in mixes and in commercially prepared products to prolong shelf life, improve baking performance, and maintain constant quality levels. Additives permit changes in the standard proportion of ingredients—the use of emulsifiers may permit reduction in fat content of cake mixes without impairing their functional and eating qualities. Such changes in formulation could possibly result in products that differ considerably from the homemade form in nutritive content.

Studies already reported have been primarily concerned with comparisons of cost and preparation time for homemade and commercially prepared foods. Information on yields, quality measurements, and nutrient composition of the products compared was not usually available. Asp and coworkers (1) investigated preparation time and cost of cookies, biscuits, and pie crusts made in the home from ingredients, from homemade mix, and from commercial mix. They found the commercial mix to be the most expensive and to take the least preparation time. Kolmer and Gartner (?) reported the time and cost of a variety of foods including baked products such as cookies, pies, cakes, biscuits, and hot rolls. Of the forms of hot rolls investigated in their research, the frozen form required the longest preparation time. In all other baked products, the homemade form required the longest preparation time and, in most cases, was the least expensive.

Weiss (14) compared the cost, preparation time, and acceptability of three typical meals daily. These meals were prepared by two persons (one in the home and one in the laboratory) from basic ingredients, from partly prepared foods, and from ready-to-serve foods. She concluded that much time was saved in using prepared foods, compared with the added cost, and that meals made from partly prepared products were nearly as acceptable as the homemade.

A pilot study conducted by the Agricultural Marketing Service on cost to consumers of convenience foods (5) revealed that of 52 foods studied, 28 were more expensive, 18 were less expensive, and 6 were the same price as the corresponding unserviced food. The foods investigated were meats, fish, fruits, vegetables, dairy products, and groceries. The average difference in cost per purchase unit of the convenience items as compared with the unserviced form was 0.7 cent.

Pancake or waffle mix and cake mix were the two mixes most frequently used in large cities of the United States in 1953 (8). In another study reported in 1957, from 36 to 86 percent of the families interviewed used cake, pudding, pancake, yeast roll, pastry, icing, cookie, muffin, and biscuit mixes at least occasionally (11).

The present research was conducted to ascertain the consumer quality, preparation time, yield, and proximate composition of frequently used baked products in different forms available on the retail market. Minerals were determined on selected foods. The study was made by the Human Nutrition Research Division, Agricultural Research Service, in cooperation with the Marketing Economic Division, Economic Research Service. That group provided the samples for the analyses and obtained information on retail food prices. Research on cost comparisons of these foods will be published by the Economic Research Service in a Marketing Research Report.

## TYPES OF FOODS INVESTIGATED

The homemade form and two brands each of most purchased partly prepared and already prepared forms of baked products were included in this study. The foods investigated were:

- Cakes-angel food, devil's food, pound, and yellow.
- Cookies-brownies and sugar cookies.
- Pies-apple, cherry, and coconut.
- *Breads*—biscuits, corn muffins, pancakes, waffles, and yeast rolls.
- Frostings—chocolate (butter-cream) and white (?-minute).

The homemade baked products were made from household formulas taken from the files of the Human Nutrition Research Division. Ingredients used in homemade products and in mixes are listed in tables 1 to 5. The partly prepared foods were purchased in various forms—both chemically leavened and yeast-leavened refrigerated dough, frozen, brown-and-serve, and mix. Mixes, which require some preparation before baking or cooking, were classified into the following groups:

Type I—water to be added.

Type II—milk to be added.

Type III—more than one ingredient to be added; i.e., eggs and water or milk as in cake mixes.

Ready-to-serve "bakery" foods were purchased from grocery stores and included both nationally advertised and regional brands. The dry mixes, frozen items, and refrigerated doughs analyzed were nationally advertised brands, and were considered representative of items available in most sections of the United States.

The preparation data—time, yield, and quality measurements—are average values for duplicate samples in many instances; composition data are values for one purchase lot for a preparation form. No attempt was made to analyze enough samples of any one brand of a commercial form to obtain statistically significant average values. Rapid technological changes of factory-prepared foods, resulting in frequent changes in formulation of these products, could quickly make obsolete any statistical differences obtained. Therefore, several brands of a preparation form and a fairly large number of preparation forms were studied, and the number of replications for any one brand or preparation form was limited. General differences among preparation forms (i.e., frozen and dry mix) and variations among brands were observed.

A total of 106 foods was investigated for preparation time and yield, 87 of which were evaluated by objective measurements. For proximate composition, 72 ready-to-serve, 21 ready-to-bake, and 62 dry-mix items were analyzed.

### LABORATORY PROCEDURES AND ANALYTICAL METHODS

#### **Preparation Time, Yield, and Quality Measurements**

#### **Preparation of Foods**

All samples were prepared in a laboratory with temperature and relative humidity controls set at 74° F. and 60 percent, respectively. All weights were recorded in grams and converted to ounces.

Manufacturer's directions for preparation of commercial forms of foods were followed without deviation. Identical pans were used for baking the mix and homemade forms. Other commercial forms were purchased in the largest units available. Many forms, however, were available in only one market size.

Equal weights of dough or batter were used for the mix and homemade forms of corn muffins and yeast rolls. Equal volumes of batter for the different forms were used in baking pancakes and waffles. Batters were divided equally between two pans for homemade pound cakes and for twolayer cakes; in all other cases, the batter was poured into one baking pan. Baking powder biscuits were rolled between sheets of wax paper to ½-inch thickness. Pie crusts were rolled to <sup>3</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-inch thickness because some pie doughs were too tender to handle when rolled to one-sixteenth inch.

#### **Preparation Time**

Preparation time was recorded as active time and total time. Active time was defined as the time for preparation which required constant attention of the laboratory worker, including time for assembling ingredients and equipment, but not for cleanup. Total time was active time plus the waiting time required for thawing, freezing, chilling, baking, or cooking of the foods. For comparability, the same laboratory worker prepared all similar foods.

#### Yield

Total yield was determined by weight and by volume. Number and size of servings were calculated from the cooked weight and volume by using the weight and volume of a single serving of the homemade food as the reference. The size of servings for the various products was based on information in the literature. Some of the reference sizes of servings for this research were—

8-inch cake	$\frac{1}{14}$ sector.
9-inch cake	$\frac{1}{16}$ sector.
8½-inch loaf cake	1/2-inch slice
8-inch pie	16 sector.
7-inch waffle	1.
4-inch pancakes	3.

Pies were separated into crusts and fillings and each was weighed and measured. Frostings were removed from cakes before weights for yields were determined.

	Formula				F	ormula	
Name and form of product	Ingredients	Weight	Propor- tion of total weight of ingredi- ents	Name and form of product	Ingredients	Weight	Propor- tion of total weight o ingredi- ents
Angel food: Homemade	Egg whites Sugar Flour (cake) Cream of tartar_ Salt Almond flavoring.	Ounces <sup>2</sup> 13. 0 13. 1 5. 0 . 2 . 1 . 1	Percent 41. 3 41. 6 15. 9 . 6 . 3 . 3	Pound: Homemade	Butter Sugar Eggs Flour (cake) Salt Vanilla	Ounces <sup>2</sup> 10. 0 10. 5 10. 4 10. 0 . 05 . 2	Percent 24. 25. 25. 24. <.
	Total	31. 5	100. 0		Total	41. 2	100.
Mix (Type I): Brand A	Mix Water	16. 0 9. 9	61. 5 38. 1 4	Mix (Type III): Brand A	Mix Eggs Water	$ \begin{array}{c} 16. 9 \\ 3. 4 \\ 4. 0 \end{array} $	69. 14. 16.
	flavoring.				Total	24.3	100.
	Total	26. 0	100. 0	Brand B	Mix Eggs	18.0	64.
Brand B	Mix Water	16. 0 9. 9	61. 0 37. 8		Milk	6. 4	23.
	Vanilla Almond	. 2 . 1	. 8 . 4		Total	27. 8	100.
Devil's food	flavoring. Total	26. 2	100. 0	Yellow: Homemade	Butter Fat Sugar Eggs	1. 3 1. 1 7. 0 3. 4	5. 4. 27.
Homemade	Fat Sugar Eggs Flour (cake)	4. 0 10. 5 3. 4 6. 7	10.8     28.5     9.2     18.2		Flour (cake) Baking powder (SAS/P). Milk	6. 7 . 4 5. 8	26. 1. 22.
	(SAS/P).	. 2	. 5		Sait Vanilla	. 1	
	Salt Chocolate (un-	, 1 3. 0	. 3 8. 1		Total	25. 9	100.
	sweetened). Buttermilk Vanilla	8. 7 . 2	23. 6	Mix (Type III): Brand A	Mix Eggs Water	$     18. 0 \\     3. 4 \\     10. 4 $	56. 10. 32.
	Total	36. 9	100. 0		Total	31.8	100.
Mix (Type III): Brand A	Mix Eggs Water	18. 9 3. 4 9. 9	58.7 10.6 30.7	Brand B	Mix Eggs Water	19. 0 3. 4 8. 0	62. 11. 26.
	Total	32. 2	100. 0		Total	30. 4	100.
Brand B	Mix	20. 0	63. 7				
	Eggs Water	3. 4 8. 0	$   \begin{array}{c}     10.8 \\     25.5   \end{array} $				
	Total	31. 4	100. 0				

TABLE 1.-CAKES: Ingredients used in homemade formulas and ingredients added to mixes 1

<sup>1</sup> Type I mix requires water, and Type III mix requires eggs and other ingredients.
<sup>2</sup> Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.

	Formula				Formula		
Name and form of product	Ingredients	Weight	Propor- tion of total weight of ingredi- ents	Name and form of product	Ingredients	Weight	Propor- tion of total weight of ingredi- ents
Brownies: Homemade	Chocolate (un- sweetened). Fat Sugar Eggs Flour Baking powder (SAS'P). Salt Nuts (chopped) Vanilla	Ounces <sup>2</sup> 2.0 4.0 7.0 3.4 3.0 .1 .1 4.2 .2	Percent 8.3 16.7 29.2 14.2 12.5 .4 .4 17.5 .8	Cookies, sugar (refrigerated): Homemade	Butter	Ounces <sup>2</sup> 4.0 .2 5.2 1.7 6.7 .2 .04 18.0	Percent 22: 2 1. 1 28: 9 9. 4 37: 1 1. 1 . 2 100. 0
Mix (Type I)	Total Mix Water Nuts (chopped)_	24. 0 10. 5 2. 6 2. 1	100. 0 69. 1 17. 1 13. 8	Mix (Type I)	Mix Water Total Mix	$     \begin{array}{c}         13. \ 6 \\         1. \ 5 \\         15. \ 1 \\         11. \ 0     \end{array} $	90. 1 9. 9 100. 0 89. 5
Mix (Type III): Brand A	Total Eggs Water Nuts (chopped)_	15. 2 16. 0 1. 7 2. 0 2. 1	$   \begin{array}{r}     100. \ 0 \\     \hline     73. \ 4 \\     7. \ 8 \\     9. \ 2 \\     9. \ 6   \end{array} $	Mix (Type III): Brand A	Milk Vanilla Total Mix	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.1\\.2\\\hline 12.3\\\hline 11.0\\\hline 11.0\\\hline \end{array} $	8. 9 1. 6 100. 0 85. 2
Brand B	Total Eggs Water Nuts (chopped)_	21. 8 16. 0 1. 7 2. 0 2. 1	100. 0 73. 4 7. 8 9. 2 9. 6	Brand B	Eggs Vanilla Mix Eggs Milk	$ \begin{array}{c} 1. 7 \\ . 2 \\ \hline 12. 9 \\ \hline 8. 9 \\ 1. 7 \\ 2. 9 \\ \hline 7 \\ 2. 9 \\ \hline 7 \\ 2. 9 \\ \hline 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\$	$ \begin{array}{r}     13.2 \\     1.6 \\     100.0 \\     \overline{} \\     43.7 \\     8.4 \\     14.3 \\     2   \end{array} $
	I otal	21.8	100. 0		Fat Vanilla Total		$ \begin{array}{r} 23.2 \\ 8.4 \\ 2.0 \\ \hline 100.0 \\ \end{array} $

<sup>1</sup> Type I mix requires water, Type II mix requires milk, and Type III mix requires eggs and other ingredients. <sup>2</sup> Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.

	Formula				Formula		
Name and form of product	Ingredients	Weight	Propor- tion of total weight of ingredi- ents	Name and form of product	Ingredients	Weight	Propor- tion of total weight of ingredi- ents
Apple: Homemade: Crust	Flour Salt Fat Water Total	Ounces <sup>2</sup> 9.0 .3 6.0 3.0 18.3	Percent 49. 2 1. 6 32. 8 16. 4 100. 0	Apple—Continued Homemade—Con. Brand BB: Filling	Mix Sugar Cinnamon Nutmeg Total	$\begin{array}{c} Ounces \ ^{2} \\ 20. \ 0 \\ 10. \ 5 \\ . \ 1 \\ . \ 02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} Percent \\ 65.3 \\ 34.3 \\ .3 \\ <.1 \\ \hline 100.0 \end{array}$
Dough used.		8. 9		Total	10681	42. 2	100. 0
Filling	Apples Sugar Flour Salt Cinnamon Nutmeg Butter Lemon juice	$   \begin{array}{r}     15. 9 \\     5. 2 \\     . 2 \\     . 01 \\     . 1 \\     . 02 \\     . 5 \\     . 5   \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \hline 71.0 \\ 23.1 \\ .9 \\ <.1 \\ .4 \\ .1 \\ 2.2 \\ 2.2 \end{array} $	weight of pie. Brand C: Crust	Mix Water Total	10. 0 2. 2 12. 2	82. 0 18. 0 100. 0
	Total	22. 4	100. 0	Dough used.		11. 9	
Total weight of pie. Mix (Type I pas- try, Type		31. 3		Brand CC: Filling	Mix Sugar Flour Cinnamon	$20. \ 0 \\ 4. \ 7 \\ . \ 2 \\ . \ 02$	80. 2 18. 9 . 8 . 1
III filling): Brand A: Crust	Mix. Water	9. 5 2. 0	82. 6	Total weight	Total	24. 9 36. 8	100. 0
Dough	Total	<u> </u>	100. 0	of pie.			
Brand AA: Filling	Apples (dried) Water Sugar Cinnamon Salt	5. 4 16. 0 3. 5 . 04 . 05	21. 6 64. 0 14. 0 . 2 . 2	Homemade: Crust	Flour Salt Fat Water Total	9. 0 . 3 6. 0 3. 0 18. 3	49. 2 1. 6 32. 8 16. 4 100. 0
	Total	25. 0	100. 0	Dough used.		7.8	
Total weight of pie. Brand B: Crust	Mix	31. 5	83, 3	Filling	Cherries Sugar Cornstarch Butter Salt	$16. \ 0 \\ 3. \ 5 \\ . \ 6 \\ . \ 9 \\ . \ 03$	76. 016. 72. 94. 3. 1
01 400111111	Water	2. 0	16. 7		Total	21. 0	100. 0
Dough	Total	12. 0 11. 6	100. 0	Total weight of pie.		28. 8	

See footnotes at end of table.

	Formula				Formula		
Name and form of product	Ingredients	Weight	Propor- tion of total weight of ingredi- ents	Name and form of product	Ingredients	Weight	Propor- tion of total weight of ingredi- ents
Cherry—Con. Mix (Type I pastry, canned				Coconut—Con. Homemade—Con. Filling—Con.	Coconut (frozen shredded).	Ounces <sup>2</sup> 1. 7	Percent 5. 9
filling): Brand A:		Ounces <sup>2</sup>	Percent		Total	29. 0	100. 0
Crust	Water	9. 5 2. 0	82. 6 17. 4	Total		33. 4	
	Total	11. 5	100. 0	of pie.			
Dough used.		8. 8		Mix (Type I pastry, Type III			
Brand AA:	Mix	22 0	100.0	filling): Brand A:			
Total		30.8		Crust	Mix Water	5.2 1.2	81. 2
weight of pie.					Total	6. 4	100. 0
Brand B: Crust	Mix Water	10.0 2.0	83. 3 16. 7	Dough used.		4. 0	
	Total	12.0	100. 0	Filling	Mix Egg volks	5.3 1.4	18.7
Dough		9.1			Milk	21. 7	76.4
used.					Total	28.4	100. 0
Brand BB: Filling	Mix	22. 0	100. 0	Total weight of pie.		32.4	
Total		31.1		Brand B:			=
of pie.				Crust	Mix Water	5.0 1.2	80. 6 19. 4
Homemade:	Flour	4.2	48.3		Total	6. 2	100. 0
Crust	Salt Fat Water	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2\\ .2\\ 2.5\\ 1.8\end{array}$	2. 3 28. 7 20. 7	Dough used.		5. 5	
	Total	8.7	100. 0	Brand BB: Filling	Mix	2.2	9.4
Dough used.		4. 4			Milk Coconut (frozen shredded).	19. 6 1. 7	83. 4 7. 2
Filling	Eggs	5. 1	17. 6		Total	23. 5	100. 0
	Sugar Salt	2. 6 . 01	9. 0 . 03	Total		29. 0	
	Vanilla Milk	. 1 19. 5	$^{.3}_{67.2}$	weight of pie.			1

TABLE 3.—PIES: Ingredients used in homemade formulas and ingredients added to mixes 1-Continued

<sup>1</sup> Type I mix requires water, and Type III mix requires more than one ingredient to be added. <sup>2</sup> Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.

	Formula				Formula		
Name and form of product	Ingredients	Weight	Propor- tion of total weight of ingredi- ents	Name and form of product	Ingredients	Weight	Propor- tion of total weight of ingredi- ents
Baking powder bis- cuits: Homemade	Flour Baking powder (SAS/P).	Ounces <sup>2</sup> 8.0 .3	Percent 46. 8 1. 8	Pancakes—Con. Mix (Type II): Brand A	Mix Milk Corn oil	Ounces <sup>2</sup> 4.0 10.8 .5	Percent 26. 1 70. 6 3. 3
	Fat Milk	$2.2 \\ 6.5$	12. 8 38. 0	Brand B	Total Mix	15. 3 8. 9	45. 2
	Total	17. 1	100. 0		Milk	10. 8	54.8
Mix (Type II): Brand A	Mix Milk	8. 1 5. 7	58.6 41.4	Mix (Type III):	Total	19. 7	100. 0
	Total	13. 8	100. 0	Brand A	Eggs	4.0	26. 8 11. 4
Brand B	Mix	8.0	58.4		Corn oil	8.7	58. 4 3. 4
	Total	13.7	41. 0		Total	14. 9	100. 0
Corn muffins: Homemade	Cornmeal (yel-	6. 7	29. 3	Brand B	Mix Eggs Milk	8. 8 1. 7 17. 4	$   \begin{array}{r}     30. 4 \\     5. 9 \\     60. 2   \end{array} $
	low). Flour Baking powder	2.7.4	11. 8 1. 8		Corn oil Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.0\\ 28.9 \end{array} $	3. 5 100. 0
	Salt Sugar Eggs Milk Fat	$\begin{array}{c} .1\\ .9\\ 1.7\\ 8.7\\ 1.7\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} . 4 \\ 3. 9 \\ 7. 4 \\ 38. 0 \\ 7. 4 \end{array} $	Waffles: Homemade	Flour Baking powder (SAS/P). Salt	$\begin{array}{c} 6. \ 0 \\ . \ 2 \\ . \ 1 \end{array}$	31. 0 1. 0 . 5
	Total	22. 9	100. 0		Eggs Milk	3.4 8.7	17. 5 44. 8
Mix (Type III):					Corn oil	1.0	5. 2
Brand A	Mix Eggs Water	$ \begin{array}{r} 14. \ 0 \\ 1. \ 7 \\ 8. \ 0 \end{array} $	$59. 1 \\ 7. 2 \\ 33. 7$	Mix (Type I)	Mix	19.4 14.0 16.0	46. 7
	Total	23. 7	100. 0		Total	30. 0	100.0
Brand B	Mix Eggs Milk	8.5 1.7 2.9	$\begin{array}{r} 64. \ 9 \\ 13. \ 0 \\ 22. \ 1 \end{array}$	Mix (Type III): Brand A	Mix	7.8	31. 2
	Total	13. 1	100. 0		Milk	1.7 14.5	6. 8 58. 0
Pancakes:	171				Corn oll	25.0	4.0
Homemade	Baking powder	6. 0 . 2	33. 1 1. 1	Brand B	Mix	8.9	36.0
	(SAS/F). Salt Sugar Eggs Milk	. 1 . 4 1. 7 8 7	. 6 2. 2 9. 4 48 1		Eggs Milk Corn oil	$ \begin{array}{r} 3.4\\ 10.8\\ 1.0\\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r}     0.0.3 \\     14.1 \\     44.8 \\     4.2 \\   \end{array} $
	Corn oil	1. 0	5. 5		Total	24. 1	100. 0
	Total	18. 1	100. 0	Brand C	Mix Eggs	8. 9 3. 4	31. 4 12. 0
Mix (Type I)	Mix Water	14. 0 16. 0	46. 7 53. 3		Milk Corn oil	14.5 1.5	51. 3 5. 3
	Total	30. 0	100. 0		Total	28. 3	100. 0

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 4BRE	ADS: Ingredients	used in homemad	e formulas and	l ingredients	added to	mixes 1_	Continued
------------	------------------	-----------------	----------------	---------------	----------	----------	-----------

	Formula				Formula		
Name and form of product	Ingredients	Weight	Propor- tion of total weight of ingredi- ents	Name and form of product	Ingredients	Weight	Propor- tion of total weight of ingredi- ents
Waffles—Continued Mix—Continued Brand D	Mix Eggs Milk	Ounces <sup>2</sup> 4.4 1.7 8.7	Percent 27. 0 10. 4 53. 4	Yeast rolls—Con. Homemade—Con.	Eggs Flour Total	$ \begin{array}{r}     Ounces & {}^{2} \\     1. & 7 \\     20. & 0 \\     \hline     36. & 4 \end{array} $	Percent 4. 7 54. 9 100. 0
	Corn oll Total	1. 5	9. 2	Mix (Type I): Brand A	Mix Water	14. 2 8. 0	64. 0 36. 0
Yeast rolls: Homemade	Dry yeast	. 3	. 8		Total	22. 2	100. 0
	Sugar Fat	$     \begin{array}{c}       2.0 \\       1.8 \\       1.7 \\       2     \end{array} $	5.5 4.9 4.7	Brand B	Mix Water	14. 2 8. 0	64. 0 36. 0
	Milk	8.7	23. 9		Total	22. 2	100. 0

<sup>1</sup> Type I mix requires water, Type II mix requires milk, and Type III mix requires eggs and other ingredients. <sup>2</sup> Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.

TABLE 5.—FROSTINGS: Ingredients used in homemade formulas and ingredients added to mixes 1

	For	rmula			Formula			
Name and form of product	Ingredients	Weight	Propor- tion of total weight of ingredi- ents	Name and form of product	Ingredients	Weight	Propor- tion of total weight of ingredi- ents	
Frosting, chocolate: Homemade Mix (Type I): Brand A Brand B Brand C	Sugar (confec- tioners). Fat (table) Chocolate (un- sweetened). Milk Total Water Total Mix Water Total Mix Water Mix Water Water	Ounces         2         9         0           2.0         2.0         2.0         3           13.3         5.5         5         1.0           6.5         15.0         2.5         17.5           13.0         2.0         2.0         2.0	Percent 67. 7 15. 0 15. 0 2. 3 100. 0 84. 6 15. 4 100. 0 85. 7 14. 3 100. 0 76. 4 11. 8 11. 8	Frosting, white: Homemade Mix (Type I): Brand A Brand B	Egg whites Sugar Salt Corn sirup (light). Water Vanilla Total Mix Total Mix Water Total Total	$\begin{array}{c} Ounces \ ^{2} \\ 2. \ 0 \\ 10. \ 5 \\ <. 1 \\ . 2 \\ \hline 7 \\ . 2 \\ \hline 13. \ 6 \\ \hline \\ 6. \ 5 \\ 4. \ 0 \\ \hline 10. \ 5 \\ \hline \\ 6. \ 5 \\ 3. \ 5 \\ \hline 10. \ 0 \\ \end{array}$	Percent 14. 7 77. 1 1. 5 5. 1 1. 5 100. 0 61. 9 38. 1 100. 0 65. 0 35. 0 100. 0	
	Total	17. 0	100. 0					

<sup>1</sup> Type I mix requires water.
<sup>2</sup> Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.

#### **Quality Evaluation**

As an objective method for determining tenderness of angel food cake, devil's food cake, pound cake, yellow cake, corn muffins, and yeast rolls, compressibility was measured by the Precision penetrometer equipped with the flat disk and a number of weights. In order to standardize procedures, compressibility measurements were taken on cakes 18 to 20 hours after baking and on corn muffins and yeast rolls 3 to 4 hours after baking. Depth of penetration in 5 seconds was measured on six samples, each measuring  $1\frac{34}{4} \times 1\frac{34}{4}$ 

### **Proximate Composition and Mineral Element Analyses**

#### **Foods Analyzed**

Proximate composition was determined for all preparation forms of each food included in the preparation time and yield investigations. Frostings and fillings analyzed were those removed from frozen and bakery cakes and brownies, and two brands of chocolate frosting dry mix. In many instances, the analyses for proximate composition were made at a later date than were the preparation-time and yield-data determinations. In both, however, the same standardized procedures were followed, the same standard weights of ingredients were added, and the same staff prepared the samples. Composition of some products was obtained for the same brand two or more times over a period of several months to see if formulation changes were reflected by composition changes. For a number of mixes, two packages were purchased from the same lot, one for proximate analyses in the dry form and the other for analyses after preparation and baking. Ten ready-to-bake items were also purchased in duplicate and analyzed both before and after baking.

In addition to proximate composition, content of eight mineral elements was determined in nine cake and brownie mixes, primarily to indicate the amount of leavening agents present.

#### Sample Preparation

Cakes and frostings, pie crusts and pie fillings, angel food-flour and angel food-egg white mixtures were separated before being analyzed. Dry mixes were stored in tightly covered glass jars and mixed by tumbling before being weighed. Samples other than dry mixes were weighed and stored in sealed cans at  $-20^{\circ}$  C. Before being weighed for analyses, the frozen samples were ground three times in a Hobart mill to pass a plate having holes of 5 mm. diameter.

#### Moisture

Samples were weighed in shallow 60-mmaluminum foil dishes and dried overnight at 50° C. in a forced-air oven. Drying was continued to constant weight in a vacuum oven at 50° C. x  $\frac{7}{8}$  inches, with penetrometer weights of 100 grams for angel food cake; 250 grams for devil's food, pound, and yellow cakes and yeast rolls; and 275 grams for corn muffins.

Shear force of biscuits, pancakes, waffles, cookies, and pie crusts was measured on the Warner-Bratzler shear machine (capacity 60 pounds force). Six readings were made on each sample, previously cut into 1¼-inch strips. Readings were taken on pancakes and waffles immediately after baking and on biscuits, cookies, and pie crusts after cooling to room temperature.

Loss in weight was recorded as the moisture content.

#### Fat

Representative samples of each food were analyzed for fat both by ethyl-ether extraction of residues from moisture determinations in a Soxhlet apparatus overnight and by the acid hydrolysis procedure of the AOAC (2). For coconut pie fillings, which are high in milk, hydrolysis was preceded by digestion with ammonium hydroxide, according to the Roese-Gottlieb method for fat in cheese (2). In only a few cases were differences between the two basic methods greater than the experimental error; for those few cases, fat data reported here are the higher values. For samples high in milk, for instance, Roese-Gottlieb fat values are reported, while for samples containing nuts. Soxhlet values are used.

For all other samples, fat was determined by the Soxhlet extraction method.

#### Protein

Total nitrogen was determined by the microkjeldabl method of the AOAC, modified by using  $0.02 \ N$  HCl rather than boric acid in the distillation step, and by titrating with  $0.02 \ N$  NaOH and the indicator methyl red. Factors for converting total nitrogen values to protein values were calculated from formulas and from yield data, by using the appropriate factors for individual foods established by Jones and reported by Merrill and Watt (10).

#### Ash

Weighed samples, after overnight drying at 50° C. in a forced-air oven, were ashed to constant weight at 600° C. in a muffle furnace.

#### Food Energy

Calorie conversion factors were calculated in the same manner as the protein factors, by using the energy values established for individual food items (10).

#### **Composition of Individual Servings**

Yield data (tables 7 through 11) show that standard servings of a product based on volume frequently varied greatly in weight among the different forms investigated. Proximate values for ready-to-serve and ready-to-bake products, therefore, were calculated and reported not only for basic 100-gram portions but also for individual servings, by using data on equal-volume serving sizes described under "total yield."

#### **Mineral Elements**

Seven mineral elements—calcium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, phosphorus, and sodi-

um—were determined with the medium spectrograph; potassium was analyzed with the flame photometer. The analytical system used was essentially that of Hopkins et al. (6). For the spectrographic determinations, 10- to 15-mg. samples of the dry mixes were weighed directly into the electrodes, ashed, and arced. No preliminary concentrations of the samples were made before weighing. Mineral values reported here are arithmetic means for four determinations on each mix.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Preparation Time, Yield, and Quality Measurements**

#### **Preparation Time**

The preparation times of commercially processed and homemade foods as determined by the same laboratory worker are reported in graphic form (figs. 1 and 2).

Mixes for devil's food, pound, and yellow cakes saved from 67 to 80 percent of the active time of 30 to 41 minutes required for preparation of the corresponding homemade product and saved from 27 to 48 percent of the total time of 59 to 120 minutes. Mixes for angel food cakes saved somewhat less active and total preparation time. Frozen cakes saved from 88 to 92 percent of the 40 to 41 minutes of active time, but little or no total time since time for thawing was involved. Thawing time, however, does not require close attention. Bakery cakes, of course, required no time for preparation. Cookies made from mixes sometimes required longer active and total time than did the homemade cookies. The cookie dough made from one brand of mix was sticky and difficult to handle. Total preparation time of cookies, pancakes, and waffles sometimes depends on the quantity prepared at one time since baking is done in lots. When total preparation time included only the time for baking of one cooking lot, preparation times differed considerably less among the forms tested. Preparation time of waffles, for example, required 16 minutes for the homemade form; 7, 9, and 10 minutes for Types I, II, and III mixes, respectively; and 2 and 15 minutes for the two brands of frozen waffles.

Frozen pies saved 96 percent of the active time, and pies made from mixes saved 44 percent of the 41 to 57 minutes required for preparation of the



\* Not studied in frozen form

FIGURE 1.—Preparation times, including active and total time, for angel food, devil's food, and yellow cakes, and pies.



FIGURE 2.—Preparation times, including active and total time, for baking powder biscuits, pancakes, waffles, and yeast rolls.

homemade pies. Both kinds of partly prepared pies afforded a saving of about 30 percent in total preparation time.

Biscuits made from mixes saved 54 percent of the 24 minutes of active time and 39 percent of the 36 minutes of total time required for preparation of the homemade form. The other commercial forms of biscuits saved more than 90 percent of the active time and more than 70 percent of the total preparation time. Corn muffin mixes saved less than 25 percent of the active or total time.

Partly prepared yeast rolls of the mix, frozen, and brown-and-serve forms saved 67, 95, and 96 percent, respectively, of the 51 minutes of active preparation time required for the homemade product. Brown-and-serve rolls saved 95 percent of the total preparation time, and mix and frozen rolls saved less than 25 percent of the 3 hours and 7 minutes required for preparing the homemade product.

Overall preparation times for brownies and frostings made from mixes differed little from those required for preparation of the homemade forms.

#### Yield

Homemakers, institution managers, and many others responsible for serving food ordinarily por-

tion the size of servings for baked products according to a specified volume rather than to a specified weight. Cost analysts and some marketing specialists, however, compute cost per serving on a weight basis.

The reference sizes of servings for all forms relative to volume and to weight are given in table 6. The total yield and number of servings of baked products are given in tables 7 to 11. The homemade forms usually yielded more servings, both on volume and on weight bases, than did most of the commercial forms prepared. This was to be expected because the formulas for most homemade foods, unlike the purchase unit sizes of many ready-to-serve foods, yield more than just enough servings for one meal. Servings of pies based on weight varied considerably, partially because the ratios of fillings to crusts varied.

Specific volumes (cubic centimeters per gram) of the baked cakes are given graphically in figure 3. Specific volumes were, for most kinds of cake, highest for homemade and mix forms and lowest for bakery forms. The various forms of pound cake, however, differed little in specific volumes.



FIGURE 3.-Specific volumes of baked cakes of different market forms.

TABLE 6BAKED	PRODUCTS:	Reference	size	of	individual	servings	relative	to	volume	and	weight	of	the
			hom	rem	nade produ	ct							

Name of product	Size of ser all for:	rving for ms—	Name of product	Size of serving for all forms—		
	By volume	By weight	<u>^</u>	By volume	By weight	
Cakes: Angel food Devil's food Pound Yellow Cookies: Brownies Sugar (refrigerated-type)	Cubic inches 16, 0 12, 0 5, 2 11, 5 2, 4 1, 3	Ounces 1.7 1.7 1.1 1.2 .7 .5	Pies—Continued Coconut Breads: Baking powder biscuits Corn muffins Pancakes Waffles Yeast rolls	Cubic inches 7.0 6.3 4.7 8.3 19.2 5.5	Ounces 5. 0 1. 4 1. 5 5. 3 3. 3 1. 3	
Pies: Apple Cherry	7. 0 7. 0	4.7 4.5	Frostings · Chocolate (butter-cream) White (7-minute)	<sup>1</sup> 1.5 cups <sup>1</sup> 2.7 cups	14. 8 8. 9	

<sup>1</sup> Amount needed to cover two 8- or 9-inch layers.

TABLE 7 .- CAKES: Yield and number of servings of homemade, partly prepared, and ready-to-serve forms

			Tota	yield					
Name and form of product	Preparation month (1959) <sup>1</sup>	Pur- chase unit			Baked	Servi	ings <sup>2</sup>		
			Measure	Volume	weight	By volume	By weight		
Angel food: Homemade Mix (Type I):	April	Ounces <sup>3</sup>	1, 9-inch cake, 4.5 inches high	Cu. in. 259	Ounces <sup>3</sup> 27. 4	Number 16. 0	Number 16. 1		
Brand A Brand B	Mareh Oetober	$16.0 \\ 16.0$	1, 9-inch eake, 4.4 inches high 1, 9-inch cake, 4.8 inches high	$\begin{array}{c} 253\\ 276\end{array}$	$22.2 \\ 22.6$	$   \begin{array}{c}     15.7 \\     17.1   \end{array} $	$   \begin{array}{c}     13.1 \\     13.3   \end{array} $		
Brand A Brand B	August	$     \begin{array}{c}       10.3 \\       12.0     \end{array} $	1, 6.5-inch eake, 2.4 inches high 1, 7.5- x 3.5-inch loaf, 2.2 inches high.	76 58	10.3 11.1	$     4.7 \\     3.6 $	$   \begin{array}{c}     6.1 \\     6.5   \end{array} $		
Devil's food: Homemade Mix (Type III):	May		2, 9-inch layers, 3.0 inches high	191	27. 8	16.0	16.4		
Brand A Brand B 4	August	$     \begin{array}{r}       19. \ 0 \\       20. \ 0     \end{array} $	2, 9-inch layers, 3.2 inches high 2, 9-inch layers, 2.0 inches high	204 128	26. 2 28. 5	$17.1 \\ 10.1$	15.4 16.8		
Brand A Brand B <sup>4</sup>	March August	18. 0 18. 0	2, 7-inch layers, 1.6 inches high 1, 7.5-inch layer, 1.2 inches high	$\begin{array}{c} 62 \\ 54 \end{array}$	<sup>5</sup> 9. 0 <sup>5</sup> 11. 4	$5.2 \\ 4.6$	5. 3 6. 7		
Brand A	May	13.5	2, 7.3- x 4.9-inch rectangle, 2.0 inches high.	72	<sup>5</sup> 12. 0	6. 2	7. 1		
Pound:	Maren	14.0	2, 0.0- x 3.7-men rectangle, 2.4 inches high.	- 59	- 10. 9	4.9	6. 4		
Homemade Mix (Type III):	July		2 loaves, 8.5 x 3.5 x 3.0 inches	178	36.9	34. 0	33. 5		
Brand A Brand B Frozen	do do	$   \begin{array}{r}     17.0 \\     17.0 \\     12.2   \end{array} $	1 loaf, 8.5 x 4.5 x 3.5 inches 1 loaf, 8.5 x 3.5 x 3.5 inches 1 loaf, 8.0 x 3.1 x 2.2 inches	$\begin{array}{c}134\\104\\54\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$25.5 \\ 19.8 \\ 10.4$	20. 1 22. 0 11. 0		
Brand A Brand B	do do	$16.0 \\ 14.0$	1 loaf, 8.0 x 3.5 x 2.8 inches 1 loaf, 4.8 x 3.9 x 2.9 inches	$78\\54$	$15.5 \\ 13.5$	$14.9 \\ 10.3$	14. 0 12. 2		
Yellow: Homemade Mix (Type III):	April		2, 8-inch layers, 3.2 inches high	161	17. 5	14. 0	14.6		
Brand A Brand B Bakery	March October April	$     18. 0 \\     19. 0 \\     19. 0 $	2, 8-ineh layers, 3.4 inches high 2, 8-ineh layers, 3.5 inehes high 2, 6.2-ineh layers, 1.8 inches high	$\begin{array}{c}171\\176\\54\end{array}$	22.4 24.2 511.1	$ \begin{array}{c} 14.9\\ 15.3\\ 4.6 \end{array} $	18.7 20.2 9.2		

<sup>1</sup> Preparation date was 1 to 3 days after purchase, except for bakery form for which the month of purchase is given.
 <sup>2</sup> Volume and weight of individual serving of homemade product was used as reference. (See table 6.)
 <sup>3</sup> Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.
 <sup>4</sup> Proximate composition was determined on same sample. (See table 12.)
 <sup>5</sup> Weight without frosting.

TABLE S.-COOKIES: Yield and number of servings of homemade, partly prepared, and ready-to-serve forms

			Total yield						
Name and form of product	Preparation month (1959) <sup>1</sup>	Purchase		Baked	Servings <sup>2</sup>				
			Measure	weight	By volume	By weight			
Brownies: Homemade Mix (Type I)	April July	Ounces <sup>3</sup> 10. 5	1 pan, 9 inches square, 0.8 inch thick 1 pan, 6- x 8-inch rectangle, 1.0 inch thick.	Ounces <sup>3</sup> 19. 9 13. 6	Number 27. 0 20. 0	Number 28. 4 19. 4			
Mix (Type III): Brand A Brand B Frozen	April March April	16. 0 16. 0 14. 0	1 pan, 9 inches square, 0.6 inch thick. 1 pan, 8 inches square, 0.8 inch thick. 1 pan, 6.8-x 5.8-inch rectangle, 0.8 inch thick	19.3 19.0 10.9	$20. \ 2 \\ 21. \ 3 \\ 13. \ 2$	27.6 27.1 15.6			
Bakery: Brand A Brand B <sup>5</sup>	August	7. 0 7. 0	<ol> <li>pan, 3.0- x 3.2-inch rectangle, 0.8 inch thick.</li> <li>pan, 6.0- x 3.0-inch rectangle, 0.9</li> </ol>	4 5. 9 6. 0	3. 2 <sup>.</sup> 7. 0	8.4 8.6			
Cookies (sugar): Homemade	June; Sep tember.	12 5	inch thick.         54 cookies, 2.0 x 0.2 inch         48 cookies, 2.1 x 0.1 inch	14.5	27.0	29.0			
Mix (Type II) Mix (Type II): Brand A Brand B	July	11. 0 11. 0 40. 0	44 cookies, 2.3 x 0.2 inch 34 cookies, 2.4 x 0.2 inch 60 cookies, 2.2 x 0.4 inch	11. 8 11. 2 19. 8	$ \begin{array}{c} 13.3\\ 28.1\\ 23.7\\ 70.2 \end{array} $	23.0 20.0 22.4 39.6			
Chilled in roll Bakery: Brand A Brand B <sup>s</sup>	June do September	(8.9 used) 11. 5 13. 5 14. 5	45 cookies, 2.1 x 0.2 inch 21 cookies, 2.9 x 0.4 inch 19 cookies, 3.1 x 0.3 inch	9.7 13.5 14.5	28. 3 42. 7 33. 1	$   \begin{array}{r}     19.4 \\     26.8 \\     29.0   \end{array} $			

<sup>1</sup> Preparation date was 1 to 3 days after purchase, except for bakery form for which the month of purchase is given.
<sup>2</sup> Volume and weight of individual serving of homemade product was used as reference. (See table 6.)
<sup>3</sup> Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.
<sup>4</sup> Weight without frosting.

<sup>5</sup> Proximate composition was determined on same sample. (See table 12.)

	TABLE 9PIES: Y	Tield and n	umber of	servings of	homemade.	partly prepared.	and ready-to-serve	forms
--	----------------	-------------	----------	-------------	-----------	------------------	--------------------	-------

			Total yiel	d		
Name and form of product	Preparation month (1959) <sup>1</sup>	Purchase unit	Measure and proportion of crust	Baked	Servings <sup>2</sup>	
			and filling based on weight	weight	By volume	By weight
Apple: Homemade Mix (Type I pastry, Type III	June	Ounces <sup>3</sup>	1, 8-inch pie Crust 38%, filling 62%	Ounces <sup>3</sup> 28. 2	Number 6. 0	Number 6. 0
filling): Brand A (cooked) '- Brand AA	August	Crust, 9.5; apples, 12.0	1, 8-inch pie Crust 37%, filling 63%	28. 3	6. 0	6. 0
Brand B.	June	Crust, 10.0;	1, 8-inch pie	32. 3	6. 0	6. 9
Brand C 4 Brand C C	August	Crust, 10.0; filling, 20.0.	1, 8-inch pie Crust 40%, filling 60%	34. 9	6. 0	7.4

See footnotes at end of table.

			Total yield						
Name and form of product	Preparation month (1959) <sup>1</sup>	Purchase unit	Measure and proportion of crust	Baked	Serv	ings <sup>2</sup>			
			and ming based on weight	weight	By volume	By weight			
Apple—Continued Frozen: Brand A	June	Ounces <sup>3</sup> 22.0	1, 8-inch pie	Ounces <sup>3</sup>	Number	Number			
Brand B 4	August	24.0	Crust 46%, filling $54\%$ 1, 8-inch pie Crust 40% filling 60%	23. 4	6. 0	4. 4			
Bakery: Brand A	June	24.0	1, 8-inch pie	23. 8	6. 0	5. 1			
Brand B 4	September	48.0	Crust 88%, nling 12°c 1, 10-inch pie Crust 42%, filling 58%	47.3	9, 3	10. 1			
Homemade	June		1, 8-inch pie Crust 45%, filling 55%	27. 1	6. 0	6. 0			
Mix (Type I pastry, canned filling): Brand A	ldo	Crust. 9.5	1 Sinch nie	20.0					
Brand AA Brand B <sup>4</sup> Brand BB	September	filling, 22.0. Crust, 10.0; filling, 22.0	Crust 39%, filling $61\%$ 1, 8-inch pie	29. 2 29. 5	6. 0	6, 6			
Frozen: Brand A	June	25.0	1, 8-inch pie	<b>22</b> . 9	6. 0	5. 1			
Brand B 4	September	24.0	Crust 45%, filing 55% 1, 7-inch pie Crust 41%, filling 59%	22. 6	4.6	5. 0			
Brand A	June	24.0	1, 8-inch pie Crust 53%, filling 47%	23. 5	6. 0	5. 2			
Brand B <sup>+</sup>	September	40.0	1, 10-inch pie Crust 46%, filling 54%	40. 0	9, 3	8. 9			
Homemade	June		1, 8-inch pie Crust 25%, filling 75°	30.4	6. 0	6. 0			
Type III filling):									
Brand A 4	September	10.5	1, 8-inch pie Crust 15%, filling 85%	28.1	6. 0	5. 6			
Brand BB	0 unc	(5.0 used); filling, 2.2.	Crust 28%, filling 72%	19. 1	6. 0	3. 8			
Frozen: Brand A	do	24.0	1, 8-inch pie	22.5	6. 0	4. 5			
Brand B 4	September	26.0	1, 7-inch pie Crust 29%, filling 71%	22. 5	4. 6	4. 5			
Brand A	June	18.0	1, 8-inch pie Crust 47%, filling 53%	5 12. 4	6. 0	2. 5			
Brand B 4	September	38.0	1, 9-inch pie Crust 23%, filling 77%	37. 3	7.6	7.5			

## TABLE 9.—PIES: Yield and number of servings of homemade, partly prepared, and ready-to-serve forms—Continued

Preparation date was 1 to 3 days after purchase, except for bakery form for which the month of purchase is given.
 Volume and weight of individual serving of homemade product was used as reference. (See table 6.)
 Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.
 Proximate composition was determined on same sample. (See table 12.)
 Weight without meringue.

		Purchase unit	Total yield						
Name and form of product	Preparation month (1959) <sup>1</sup>			Baked	Servi	ings <sup>2</sup>			
			Measure	weight	By volume	By weight			
		Ounces <sup>3</sup>		Ounces <sup>3</sup>	Number	Number			
Baking powder biscuits: Homemade	{June August	}	19.0 biscuits	13. 8	9. 5	9, 9			
Mix (Type II): Brand A	June	40.0	15.5 biscuits	12.5	7.8	8. 9			
Brand B	August	(8.1 used) 40. 0 (8.0 used)	16.0 biscuits	12.4	8. 0	8, 8			
Refrigerated, chemi- cally leavened.	Turn e	8.0	10.0 bisquits	7.0	5.0	P 0			
Brand A	August	8. 0	10.0 biscuits	7. 9	5. 0	5. 6			
Refrigerated, partially	June	7.9	10.0 biscuits	7.8	5. 0	5.6			
yeast leavened. Frozen	August	13.7	12.0 biscuits	12.6	6. 0	9, 0			
Corn muffins: Homemade	{May October	}	13.0 muffins.	19.1	13. 0	12.7			
Brand A Brand B	October	$     \begin{array}{r}       14. \\       8. 5     \end{array} $	14.8 muffins	$21.6 \\ 11.7$	$     \begin{array}{r}       14.8 \\       8.0     \end{array} $	$14.4 \\ 7.8$			
Pancakes:									
Homemade Mix (Type I)	June	14. 0	9.3, 4.2-inch diameter 22.0, 4.2-inch diameter	$   \begin{array}{c}     16.5 \\     24.2   \end{array} $	$\frac{3.1}{7.3}$	3. 1 4. 6			
Mix (Type II): Brand A	July	16.0	9.3, 4.2-inch diameter	14.8	3. 1	2. 8			
Brand B	June	(4.0  used) 40. 0 (8.9  used)	11.7, 4.2-inch diameter	19.6	3. 9	3. 7			
Mix (Type III): Brand A	do	16. 0	8.7, 4.2-inch diameter	14.1	2. 9	2. 7			
Brand B	August	(4.0 used) 16. 0	17.3, 4.2-inch diameter	27.4	5.8	5. 2			
Frozen	June	(8.8 used) 9. 1	6.0, 4.2-inch diameter	8. 2	2. 0	1. 5			
Waffles: Homemade	May	14.0	5.5, 7-inch waffles	18.2 29.2	5. 5 8. 0	5. 5 8. 8			
Mix (Type III): Brand A	do	40.0	6.0.7-inch waffles	24 3	6.0	7 4			
Dralld A	August	(7.8 used)	5.0. 7-inch waffles	29.3	5.0	6.8			
Brand C	(4)	(8. 9 used)	6.0.7-inch waffles	22. 0	6.0	7.6			
Brand D	(1)	(8. 9 used)	3.3.7-inch waffles	13 5	2 2	1.0			
Brand D	()	(4. 4 used)	o.o, - men wantes	10.0	0. 0	4. 1			
Frozen: Brand A Brand B	May September	5. 6 6. 0	6.0, 3.2- x 4-inch rectangle 6.0, 3- x 3.5-inch rectangle	5. 0 5. 4	$ \begin{array}{c} 2. & 0 \\ 1. & 6 \end{array} $	1.5 1.6			
Yeast rolls: <sup>5</sup> Homemade	{May	}	24.0 rolls	30. 9	24.0	23. 8			
Mix (Type I): Brand A	May	14. 2	15.0 rolls	14.8	15.0	11. 4			
Brand B	{May August	} 14. 2	15.0 rolls	19.5	15.0	15. 0			

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 10.—BREADS: Yield and number of servings of homemade, partly prepared, and ready-to-serve forms—Continued

		Purchase unit	Total yield					
Name and form of product	Preparation month (1959) <sup>1</sup>			Baked	Servings <sup>2</sup>			
			Measure	weight	By volume	By weight		
Yeast rolls—Con. Frozen: <sup>6</sup> Brand A Brand B. Brown-and-serve: Brand A. Brand B <sup>6</sup> Brand C. Bakery <sup>6</sup>	August do May August ( <sup>4</sup> ) September	Ounces <sup>3</sup> 12. 8 19. 9 12. 5 8. 8 12. 0 8. 6	12.0 rolls 18.0 rolls 12.0 rolls 6.0 rolls 12.0 rolls 12.0 rolls 12.0 rolls	Ounces <sup>3</sup> 11. 6 19. 4 12. 2 8. 5 11. 6 8. 3	Number 12. 0 18. 0 12. 0 6. 0 12. 0 12. 0	Number 8, 9 14, 9 9, 4 6, 5 8, 9 6, 4		

<sup>1</sup> Preparation date was 1 to 3 days after purchase, except for brown-and-serve and bakery forms for which the month of purchase is given.

<sup>2</sup> Volume and weight of individual serving of homemade product was used as reference. (See table 6.)

<sup>3</sup> Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.

<sup>4</sup> February 1960.

<sup>5</sup> Proximate composition was determined on second replication.

<sup>6</sup> Proximate composition was determined on same sample. (See table 12.)

TABLE	11.—Frostings:	Yield and a	number of serv-
ings	of homemade and	partly prep	ared forms <sup>1</sup>

		Total yield						
Name and form of product	Pur- chase unit	Meas-	Cooked	Servings <sup>2</sup>				
		ure	weight	By volume	By weight			
Frosting (choco- late): Homemade Mix (Type	Ounces <sup>3</sup>	Cups 1.0	Ounces <sup>3</sup> 9.9	Number 0.7	Number 0. 7			
I): Brand A Brand B Brand C	$5.5 \\ 15.0 \\ 13.0$	.7 1.5 1.5	$ \begin{array}{c} 6.0\\ 16.4\\ 16.8 \end{array} $	.5 1.0 1.0	.4 1.1 1.1			
Frosting (white): Homemade Mix (Type		4. 0	13. 3	1. 5	1. 5			
Brand A Brand B	$   \begin{array}{c}     6.5 \\     6.5   \end{array} $	$2.7 \\ 2.7$	9.4 8.8	$1.0 \\ 1.0$	1. 1 1. 0			

 $^{1}\,\mathrm{Preparation}$  date was 1 to 3 days after purchase in May 1959.

<sup>2</sup> Amount needed to cover two 8- or 9-inch layers. Mix type was used as standard. (See table 6.)

<sup>3</sup> Weight was recorded in grams and converted to ounces.

Frozen, mix, or homemade two-crust pies, when baked, usually had from 35 to 45 percent crust on the basis of total weight of the pies (tables 9 and 12). Some bakery two-crust pies had from 53 to 88 percent crust. Coconut pie, a single crust type, usually had from 15 to 30 percent crust One brand of bakery coconut pie, however, had as much as 47 percent crust.

#### Quality Characteristics

Compressibility readings of baked products prepared from mixes were often higher, an indication of greater softness of crumb, than readings on the homemade baked products (fig. 4). Manufacturers of mixes use low-protein flour fractions and emulsifiers in devil's food and yellow cake mixes and wheat starch in angel food cake mixes to insure softness in the baked cake crumb (4). However, yellow cakes made from either of two brands of mix and devil's food cake made from one brand of mix were less than half as compressible as the corresponding homemade cake. One brand of angel food mix and not the other, when baked, gave somewhat lower compressibility readings than the homemade form. Frozen and homemade devil's food cakes were equally compressible. Bakery cakes were usually more firm than any of the other forms of cakes tested.

Compressibility readings within a kind of cake —i.e., angel food, yellow—were highest for the forms that were highest in specific volume and often were lowest for cakes lowest in specific volume (figs. 3 and 4).

Pound cake, which is usually rather firm and compact in texture, had low compressibility readings (not shown). Homemade and frozen pound cakes were equally firm with readings of 2.0 mm. Pound cakes made from two brands of mixes differed, with readings of 4.2 and 1.6 mm., respectively. The bakery pound cakes were most firm, giving readings of 2.0 and 1.5 mm.



FIGURE 4.—Compressibility of four kinds of baked products.

Corn muffins, like pound cake, are not usually considered very compressible. The two brands of corn muffin mix, when baked, were equal in compressibility (readings 3.1 mm.) and were more compressible than the homemade corn muffins (reading 1.8 mm.).

Yeast rolls prepared from two brands of mix or baked from two brands of frozen rolls exceeded homemade yeast rolls in compressibility. Bakery rolls were equal in compressibility to, and the brown-and-serve rolls were about one-third as compressible as, homemade rolls.

Shear-force readings on Type II mix sugar cookies and on bakery cookies were approximately 50 and 100 percent higher (less tender) than readings on homemade sugar cookies (fig. 5). Low shear readings on sugar cookies made from dough chilled in a roll or from Type III mix indicated they were more tender than homemade cookies. Homemade and frozen baking powder biscuits were about equal in tenderness and were most tender of the forms investigated. The other types of biscuits in order of increasing shear force (decreasing tenderness) were biscuits made from Type II mixes; biscuits made from partially yeast-leavened refrigerated dough; and biscuits made from chemically leavened refrigerated dough.

Pancakes and waffles made from mixes were somewhat more tender than the corresponding homemade products. The frozen form of pancakes or waffles was consistently less tender than any other form investigated.

Crusts of bakery pies were consistently less tender than crusts of the corresponding homemade or partly prepared pies (shear data not shown).



FIGURE 5. Shear-force readings of four kinds of baked products.

### **Proximate Composition and Mineral Element Content**

#### Comparisons of Composition of the Different Foods Studied

The ready-to-serve foods in this study were of high ealoric value (table 12), and within each food group, caloric values usually followed fat content. For all food items, except pie fillings, energy values per 100-gram portion were 200 Calories or more, reaching a maximum of 600 Calories per 100 grams of baked unfilled pie shell. Energy values for cakes and cookies were higher per 100 grams than those for pies, with unbuttered breads intermediate in value.

Individual serving sizes ranged in weight from around 15 grams for a serving of sugar cookies to more than 140 grams for a serving of coconut pie. For this reason, ranking of foods by caloric content per serving differed from ranking by energy value of 100-gram portions. For individual servings, caloric values were highest in pies and pancakes and lowest in sugar cookies and yeast rolls.

			10	0-gran	portio	=			Ind	ividual se	rving b	y volun	ie 1	
I tem number	Description of sample nucl date received	Mois- ture	Pood energy	$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{h}\mathbf{t}}$	Pro- tein	Ash	Carbo- hydrate (by dif- ference)	Weight	Mois- ture	Food energy	Pat	l'ro- tein	Ash	Carbo- hydrate (by dif- ference)
	CAKES <sup>2</sup>													
2 -	ANGEL FOOD CARE: Homemade (9/60) Mix: Type I, Brand A (9/60)	<i>Grams</i> 30. 8 34. 0	Calories 272 259	Grams 0. 2 . 2	Grams 6. 1 5. 7	Grams 0. 7	Grams 62. 2 59. 4	<i>Grams</i> 49 40	<i>Grams</i> 15. 1 13. 6	Calorics 133 104	Grams 0. 1	Gram8 3. 0 2. 3	Grams 0. 3 . 3	Grams 30. 5 23. 7
с; <del>4</del>	Bakery: Brand A (9/59)	29, 9 30, 7	$\frac{271}{269}$	0.0	6. 0 6. 4	1. 7 1. 4	$62.4 \\ 61.5$	62 88 88	18. 5 27 0	$168 \\ 237$	0.0.	3.7 5.6	1. 1 1. 2	38. 7 54. <b>2</b>
22	DEVIL'S FOOD CAKE: Homemade (3/60)	25. 6	355	15.6	5.1	1. 2	52.5	49	12.5	174	7. 6	2.5	. 6	25.8
6	Type III, Brand A (3/60) Type III, Brand B (8/59) <sup>3</sup>	32. 6 27. 4	$267 \\ 325$	$\frac{4.3}{11.7}$	4, 2 4, 8 2 8	1.6	57 3 54. 5	43 81	$14.0 \\ 22.2$	$\frac{115}{263}$	1. 8 9. 5	$ \frac{1.8}{3.9} $	. 7 1. 3	24.7 44.1
20 G.	Frozen: Brand A (9/59) Brand B (8/59) <sup>3</sup>	29. 5 24. 7	$328 \\ 368$	13.7 18.0	5.0 5.2	$ \frac{1.6}{1.8} $	50, 2 50, 3	49 70	14. 5 17. 3	$161 \\ 258$	$   \begin{array}{c}     6.7 \\     12.6   \end{array} $	3.6 3.6	. 8 1. 3	24.5 35.2
10 11	Bakery: Brand A (8/59) Brand C (9/59)	21.627.3	341 324	9.9 11.8	$\frac{4.7}{5.0}$	1. 7 1. 9	62. 1 54. 0	55	11. 9	188	5.4	2. 6	6.	3.1. 2
12 13 14	Pouvn cake: Homemade (9/60) Mix: Type III, Brand B (9/60)	21. 3 25. 8 21. 4	$\begin{array}{c} 419\\368\\418\end{array}$	22. 2 15. 7 22. 5	6.5 5.0 4.9	1. 3 8. 1 1. 3 8. 6	.49. 2 52. 2 49. 9	$31 \\ 33 \\ 33$	6. 6 8. 8 7. 1	130 125 138	6.9 7.4 7.	2. 0 1. 7 1. 6	044	15. 3 17. 8 16. 5
15 16	Bakery: Brand A (9/59) Brand B (8/59) <sup>3</sup>	20. 1 22. 4	$\frac{413}{376}$	$20.1 \\ 14.6$	4. 5 4. 9	$1.0 \\ 1.4$	54. 3 56. 7	29 37	5. 8 5 8 8	$120 \\ 139$	5.8	1. 3 1. 8	e. 1.	15.8 21.0
17 18 19	YELLOW CAKE: Homemade (9/60) Mix: Type III, Brand A (9/60) Bakery (9/59)	18. 7 30. 5 25. 6	$376 \\ 317 \\ 360$	$   \begin{array}{c}     12.0 \\     9.8 \\     14.7   \end{array} $	6.3 5.5	1. 8 2. 0 2. 0	61. 2 53. 6 53. 2	35 68 68	$\begin{array}{c} 6.5 \\ 13.1 \\ 17.4 \end{array}$	132 136 245	$\begin{array}{c} 4.2\\ 4.2\\ 4.2\\ 10.0\end{array}$	3 5 5 3 5 5 3 5 5	1. 4 6	21, 5 23, 1 36, 1
	COOKIES													
20	BROWNES: 2 4 Homemade (9/60)	9. 8	497	33, 4	6, 6	1.3	48.9	21	2. 1	104	7.0	1.4	≈.	10. 2
$21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\$	Type I (9/60) Type III, Brand B (9/60) - Frozen (9/59) <sup>5</sup> Bakery (8/59) <sup>5</sup>	$15.3 \\ 10.7 \\ 12.5 \\ 12.5$	403 426 432	$   \begin{array}{c}     18.7 \\     20.1 \\     21.5 \\     22.1 \\   \end{array} $	4.0.5. 8.70 8.70	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	59, 9 63, 1 58, 4	$   \begin{array}{c}     19 \\     25 \\     23 \\     24 \\     24   \end{array} $	0040 9940	77 106 97 104	5.400 006 006 006		<u>0</u>	11.4 15.8 13.5 14.0
See f	ootnotes at end of table.													

TABLE 12.-Proximate composition of ready-to-serve baked products prepared from different market forms

21

TABLE 12.—Proximate composition of ready-to-serve baked products prepared from different market forms-Continued

			1	00-gran	1 portio	II			Ind	ividual se	rving b	y volum	1 JI	
Item number	Description of sample and date received	Mois- ture	Food energy	Fat	Pro- tein	Ash	Carbo- hydrate (by dif- ference)	Weight	Mois- ture	Food energy	Fat	Pro- tein	Ash	Carbo- liydrate (by dif- ference)
	COO KIES—Continued													
25	SUGAR COOKLES: Homemade (9/60)	Grams 4. 1	Calories 470	Grams 19.4	Grams 5.9	Grams 1. 6	Grams 69. 0	Grams 15	Grams 0.6	Calories 70	Grams 2.9	$Grams \\ 0.9$	Grams 0. 2	Grams 10. 4
26 27	Mix, Brand C: Type I (9/60) Type III (1/61)	3.0 4.3	$492 \\ 483$	$22.8 \\ 21.5$	3.9 6.0	1.0	69. 3 67. 4	31 8	6. 6.	$153 \\ 39$	$7.1 \\ 1.7$	1.2.5	.1.	21.5 $5.4$
28	Chilled in roll (9/60)	3. 4	503	25. 6	4.7	1.9	64.4	10	. 3	50	2. 6	. 5	. 2	6.4
29 30	Bakery: Brand A (9/59) Brand B (9/59) <sup>_</sup>	5.4 5.4	464 $441$	$\frac{18}{13}$ . 7	२ २२ इ.स.	. 7 1. 1	70. 8 75. 5	$\frac{9}{12}$	. 5	42 53	1.6 1.6	5		$6.4 \\ 9.2$
31	PIES APPLE: Homemade (9/60): Crust Filling Crust., 38%, and filling. 62%	$\begin{array}{c} 28. \ 0\\ 65. \ 1\\ 51. \ 0\end{array}$	379 136 229	18.5 1.1 7.7	4. 2.5.00	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1\\ .3\\ .6\end{array}$	47. 6 33. 0 38. 5	51 83 134	$\begin{array}{c} 14. \ 3\\ 54. \ 0\\ 68. \ 3\end{array}$	193 113 306	$\begin{array}{c} 9.4\\9\\9\\ 10.3\end{array}$	2. 4 2. 8 2. 8	9678	24. 3 27. 5 51. 8
32	Mix: Crust, Type I, Brand A (8/59) <sup>•</sup> Filling, dried apples, Brand AA Crust, 38%, and filling, 62%	$\begin{array}{c} 23. \ 6\\ 60. \ 9\\ 46. \ 7\end{array}$	411 147 248	21. 7 . 1 8. 3	5.0. $4$ . $4$ . $2.1$	1.3	48. 4 37. 9 42. 0	50 82 132	$\begin{array}{c} 11.8 \\ 49.9 \\ 61.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 206 \\ 121 \\ 327 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.8\\ 1\\ .1\\ .1\\ .0\end{array}$	5 3 2 5 3 2	. 6 1. 2	24. 3 31. 1 55. 4
33	Crust, Type I, Brand C (8/59) <sup>6</sup> - Filling, canned, Brand CC Crust, 40%, and filling, 60%	$25.2 \\ 68.6 \\ 51.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 395\\117\\228\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19.4\\ .\\7.8 \end{array}$	4. 9 . 3 2. 1	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1\\ \cdot 2\\ \cdot 6\\ \cdot 6\end{array}$	49. 4 30. 9 38. 3	$\begin{array}{c} 66\\ 98\\ 164 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16. \ 6\\ 67. \ 2\\ 83. \ 8\end{array}$	$261 \\ 115 \\ 376 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.8\\ 12.8\\ 12.8\end{array}$	3, 3, 2 3, 3, 3	6.00	32. 7 30. 3 63. 0
34	Frozen, Brand B (8/59): <sup>6</sup> Crust Filling Crust, 40%, and filling, 60%	26. 0 70. 7 52. 8	408     110     229	$\begin{array}{c} 23. \ 1 \\ . \ 1 \\ 9. \ 3 \end{array}$	3.9 .2 1.7	$\begin{array}{c} 1. \ 0\\ . \ 4\\ . \ 6\\ .\end{array}$	46. 0 28. 6 35. 6	$\begin{array}{c} 43\\ 65\\ 108\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 11.2\\ 46.0\\ 57.2 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 175\\72\\247\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9.9\\ 9.1\\ .1\\ 10.0 \end{array}$	1. 7 . 1 1. 8	4.607	19. 8 18. 5 38. 3
35	Bakery: Brand A (8/59): Crust. Filling Crust, 49%, and filing, 51%	23. 8 53. 4 38. 9	414 175 292	22.9 .1 11.3	5°0 3'0	$1.4 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2$	48. 0 45. 3 46. 6	56 58 114	$\begin{array}{c} 13. \\ 31. \\ 44. \\ 3\end{array}$	$232 \\ 102 \\ 334$	12. 8 . 1 . 1 . 2	2.2 2.3		26.9 26.3 53.1
36	Brand B (9/59): <sup>6</sup> Crust. Filling. Crust, 42%, and filling, 58%	27. 6 65. 9 49. 8	$404 \\ 128 \\ 244$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.3\\ .1\\ .8\\ 9.8 \end{array}$	4.4 .2 2.0	6.97	43. 8 33. 3 37. 7	60 84 144	16. 6 55. 4 72. 0	242     108     350	$   \begin{array}{c}     14.0 \\     .1 \\ $	8 5 C		26. 3 27. 9 54. 2

26.5 21.4 47.9	25. 1 30. 7 55. 8	29.0 32.0 61.0	25.5 26.3 51.8	$\frac{15.6}{31.7}$	9. 7 27. 4 37. 1	$\begin{array}{c} 13. \ 4\\ 25. \ 8\\ 39. \ 2\end{array}$	$   \begin{array}{c}     18.7 \\     20.5 \\     39.2   \end{array} $	$\frac{14.\ 3}{36.\ 7}$ 51. 0	14. 8 33. 7 48. 5	17.7
	. 7 1. 1	<del>.</del> . % 75 %	10.00 00	1. 1 1. 1 6	. 3 1. 1 1. 4	$.5 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.6$	1.35	. 4 1. 2 1. 6	, 4 1. 0 1. 4	. ũ
0 % % 31 5 %	3, 2 3, 2 3, 2	2. 0 2. 6 2. 6	2. 6 . 4 3. 0	33 <b>0</b> 33 86 <b>0</b> 53	1. 0 5. 5	1. 5 5. 4 6. 9	2, 2 7, 9	$ \frac{1.7}{7.6} $	8.0 8.0	2. 5
15.4 1.3 16.7	11. 3 11. 3	15. 3 15, 3	$\begin{array}{c} 12.4\\ 12.5\\ 12.5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.9\\ 7.9\\ 14.8 \end{array}$	4, 8 9, 9	$\begin{array}{c} 7. \ 1 \\ 6. \ 5 \\ 13. \ 6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.9\\ 8.1\\ 16.0\end{array}$	6. 5 8. 0 0	6.4 8.4	15.0
257 95 352	212 121 333	259 127 386	$225 \\ 103 \\ 328$	135 158 293	$\begin{array}{c} 86\\170\\256\end{array}$	$124 \\ 181 \\ 305$	156 175 331	$123 \\ 181 \\ 304$	$\begin{array}{c} 126\\174\\300\end{array}$	216
12, 5 47, 1 59, 6	11. 7 55. 1 66. 8	11. 1 16. 7 57. 8	15, 0 -10, 9 55, 9	10. 7 76. 9 87. 6	$\begin{array}{c} 3.\ 2\\ 71.\ 9\\ 75.\ 1\end{array}$	3.5 81.2 84.7	10. 7 58. 4 69. 1	$\begin{array}{c} 9. \ 1 \\ 62. \ 7 \\ 71. \ 8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10. \ 3\\ 69. \ 4\\ 79. \ 7\end{array}$	°°.
58 71 129	51 87 138	58 80 138	56 68 124	36 108 144	19 110 129	26 120 146	$\frac{40}{94}$	$32 \\ 108 \\ 140$	$34 \\112 \\146$	36
45. 6 30. 3 37. 1	49. 3 35. 3 40. 4	50. 1 40. 1 44. 3	45. 5 38. 7 41. 8	43. 3 14. 9 22. 0	51.2 24.9 28.8	$     \begin{array}{c}       51.2 \\       21.5 \\       26.8 \\     \end{array}   $	46. 7 21. 8 29. 3	$\begin{array}{c} 44. \ 7\\ 33. \ 9\\ 36. \ 5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 43. \ 4\\ 30. \ 0\\ 33. \ 1\end{array}$	49. 2
- 1 - 5 5 - 5	. <del>.</del>	1. 3 . 9 . 9		1. 4 1. 0 1. 1	1. 8 1. 0 1. 1	2. 1 . 9 1. 1	1. 2 1. 4 1. 3	1.3 1.1	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2\\ .9\\ 1.0\end{array}$	1.3
2.4.9 2.8	5 0 3 5 0 3	3.5 1.9	$\begin{array}{c} 4.6 \\ 2.4 \\ \end{array}$	50 00 20 00 20 00	5.4 4.1 4.3	0.44 0.70 0.70 0.70	5.6     6.0	55.55 51.55 51.55	က က က က် က် လ်	7. 0
26. 6 1. 8 13. 0	22. 1 . 0 8. 2	26.0 11.0	$\begin{array}{c} 22.\ 2\\ 1\\ 10.\ 0 \end{array}$	$   \begin{array}{c}     19.3 \\     7.3 \\     10.3   \end{array} $	25. 0 4. 6 7. 7	27. 4 5. 4 9. 4	$   \begin{array}{c}     19.7 \\     8.6 \\     11.9   \end{array} $	20.3 1.4 5.7	$   \begin{array}{c}     18.9 \\     1.8 \\     5.7   \end{array} $	41.8
443 134 273	416     139     241	$\frac{.147}{159}$	$402 \\ 152 \\ 264$	$374 \\ 146 \\ 203 $	454     155     200	477 151 210	389     186     247	385     168     218	$371 \\ 155 \\ 205$	600
21. 6 66. 3 46. 2	$\begin{array}{c} 22.9\\ 63.3\\ 48.4 \end{array}$	$   \begin{array}{c}     19. \\     58. \\     41. \\     9   \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 26.8 \\ 60.1 \\ 45.1 \end{array}$	29. 7 71. 2 60. 8	$\begin{array}{c} 16. \ 6\\ 65. \ 4\\ 58. \ 1\end{array}$	$   \begin{array}{c}     13.4 \\     67.7 \\     57.9   \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 26.8\\ 62.1\\ 51.5\end{array}$	28.3 58.1 51.2	30, 2 62, 0 54, 7	Ŀ.
Crustary: Homemade (9/59): Crust Filling Crust, 45%, and filling, 55%.	Mix: Crust, Type I, Brand B (9/59) • Filling, canned, Brand BB - Crust, 37%, and filling, 63%	Frozen, Brand B (9/59): <sup>6</sup> Crust. Filling Crust, 42%, and filling, 58%.	Bakery, Brand B (9/59); <sup>6</sup> Crust Filling Crust, 45%, and filling. 55%	Coconur: Homemade (9/60): Crust Filling_ Crust, 25%, and filling, 75%	Mix: Brand A, I (9/59): <sup>6</sup> Crust, Type I Filling, Type III Crust, 15%, and filling, 85%	Brand A, II (4/61): Crust, Type I Filling, Type III Crust, 18%, and filling, 82%	Frozen, Brand B (9/59): <sup>6</sup> Crust Filling Crust, 30%, and filling, 70%	Bakery: Brand B, I (9/59): <sup>6</sup> Crust	Brand B, II (3/61): Crust. FillingCrust, 23%, and filling, 77%	PIE CRUST: Homemade, baked shell (11/60)
37	38	39	01	41	42	43	÷ +	45	.16	47

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 12.-Proximate composition of ready-to-serve baked products prepared from different market forms-Continued

of sample         Moisture           aDS         Moisture           ADS $Moisture           and         (9/60) 24, 25, 55, 55, 55, 55, 55, 55, 55, 55, 55$	100-gram portion Individual serving by volume <sup>1</sup>	Food Fat Pro- Ash hydrate Weight Mois- Food Fat Pro- Ash hydrate (by dif- ture energy tein (by dif- ference) ference)			358         13.0         7.1         3.0         52.7         60         14.5         215         7.8         4.3         1.8         31.6	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	253         7.4         7.8         1.8         38.0         151         68.0         382         11.2         11.8         2.7         57.3	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	279         9.8         9.3         2.0         37.5         94         38.9         262         9.2         8.7         1.9         35.3	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	334         8.7         8.2         .9         56.1         36         9.4         120         3.1         3.0         .3         20.2	299         4. 5         9. 0         1. 4         54. 5         28         8. 6         84         1. 3         2. 5         . 4         15. 2           311         6. 9         8. 5         1. 3         53. 1         37         11. 2         115         2. 6         3. 1         . 5         19. 6	329     6.4     9.5     1.8     57.2     27     6.8     89     1.7     2.6     .5     15.4       311     5.4     8.5     1.8     56.0     31     8.8     96     1.7     2.6     .5     15.4       328     7.8     8.8     1.8     54.7     30     8.1     98     2.6     .5     17.3	329     6.9     9.8     1.7     56.0     19     4.9     63     1.3     1.9     .3     10.6       329     6.9     9.8     1.8     55.8     20     5.1     66     1.4     2.0     .4     11.1	296 5.9 8.3 1.8 51.4	was used as reference. <sup>4</sup> Contain nuts. <sup>5</sup> Yields were determined on the same sample. (See table 8.) <sup>6</sup> Vields word dotornined on the some sample. (See table 0.)
		received Mois- ture	ADS	Iscurts: Grams 0) 24, 2 brand A (9/60) 28, 5 emically leavened, 30, 2	24. 2	0) 9/60)	0) 45. 0	) 0)	0) 41.4	ind A (9/60)	9) 7 26. 1	30. 6 30. 2	9)	[1 (9/59) 25 6 [1 (9/59) 25 7	/pe, Brand A (8/59) - 52. 0 /pe, Brand B (8/59) - 32. 6	ing of homemade product wa

Fat content ranged from almost none in angel food cakes to more than 20 percent in cookies and 40 percent in unfilled baked pie shell. Except for pie fillings, about half of the composition by weight of the food, as served, was carbohydrate. Protein values were less than 10 percent by weight for all foods analyzed.

#### **Comparison of Composition of Different Market Forms**

In order to compare the different commercial forms, each nutrient value for every commercial form was calculated as the percentage of the corresponding value for the homemade form, which was assigned a reference value of 100 percent. These percentages were then summarized both by food group—cake, pie, etc.—and by commercial form—mix, frozen (figs. 6 and 7).

Moisture content was usually higher and ash markedly higher, in the commercial forms than in the corresponding homemade forms of the various products studied (fig. 6). Protein and fat content

were nearly always lower in commercial than in homemade foods. Carbohydrate content, for most forms and products studied, was about the same in commercial as in homemade items. Some exceptions to the general trends were noted (table 12). For example, variations in fat content and caloric values for devil's food or pound cakes were as great between brands of the same market form as among the different forms studied. Within a food group, individual components frequently showed interesting variations. Thus, fat in baking powder biscuits increased in almost stepwise fashion, from commercial refrigerated dough through mix and frozen to the homenade form. Cakes and brownies made from mixes were higher in moisture than were other commercial forms. These mixes contained emulsifiers, emulsified shortening, or wheat starch, ingredients which probably contributed to the greater moistureretaining capacity of the mixes. Except for refrigerated dough and brown-and-serve products,



FIGURE 6.—Proximate composition and caloric values of different baked products—all commercial forms combined and expressed as percentages of homemade forms.



FIGURE 7.—Proximate composition and caloric values of different market forms of baked products, expressed as percentages of values for homemade products.

the various commercial forms were similar to each other in patterns of relationships to homemade foods (fig. 7).

Homemade brownies, which were made with chocolate, contained more fat and less moisture than commercial forms of brownies, which were made with cocoa. The high fat content of the homemade form was due in part to the high proportion of added pecans (table 2) as well as the use of chocolate in the formulation. The calculated fat content of the homemade brownies, excluding fat contributed by nuts and chocolate, would be approximately 19 percent. This level is in good agreement with fat in commercial forms that included nuts and cocoa. The homemade form apparently contained more shortening than did commercial forms.

According to Lowe  $(\mathcal{P})$ , volume of cake increases with addition of baking powder and sugar to optimum levels, and decreases with addition of fat and of egg. Volume may, therefore, be related directly to ash or inversely to fat content. However, no discernible relationships between specific volume (fig. 3) and fat, protein, or moisture content could be observed. Ash values, contrary to prediction, tended to be highest in cakes having the lowest specific volumes. Variations among brands and commercial forms in the kinds of fat and leavening agents used could conceivably cause these deviations from expected relationships.

#### Composition of Dry Mixes and Finished Products Made From Mixes

Proximate composition data for 62 dry mixes representing all the food groups in the study, along with date of purchase, ingredient contents as given on labels, and ingredients to be added, are given in table 13. In addition, proximate composition data for 19 finished products corresponding to 16 of the mix items are included in table 12.

Listed ingredients used in angel food mixes were fairly constant, both among brands and over a period of time for the same brand. The proportion of flour mix to egg-white mix was also relatively uniform. Moisture, caloric, fat, and carbohydrate content varied little among the samples analyzed. Protein and ash levels of both flour and egg-white portions of the mixes varied most.

Two samples of one brand of devil's food mix, obtained and analyzed 6 months apart, had nearly identical proximate values. Fat content, however, was less than half that of the other two brands of devil's food mix analyzed. The baked cake made from this brand of mix (item 6, table 12) was correspondingly low in fat.

Nine yellow and five white cake mixes, representing five manufacturers' brands, were analyzed. Listed ingredients in the white cakes differed from those in yellow mixes only in the absence of coloring. With few exceptions, composition values varied little between yellow and white cake of the same brand, among brands, or over a period of time for the same brand (table 13). While these data are from a limited number of samples, good agreement in most composition values suggests that wider sampling at that time would not have appreciably altered conclusions reported here.

The fat and protein contents of the yellow and white cake mixes are compared graphically by year and brand (fig. 8). Few differences can be seen among any of the possible comparisons. Brand D yellow cake for 1960 was lowest in fat of all yellow or white cake mixes. Brand A devil's food and brand C pound cake mixes, made by the same manufacturer, also contained far less fat than corresponding mix types of other brands.



FIGURE 8.—Percentages of fat and protein in different brands of yellow and white cake mixes obtained in different years.

TABLE 13.-Proximate composition and labeled ingredients of market-purchased dry mixes

	9	State of the local distance of the local dis	13				18				
Eggs, water	do clo	do	Eggs, milk	Eggs, water	Milk.	Eggs, water	do	do	do	do	Milk
84. 7	84. 7 78. 2	76. 1	7:3. 1	86.4	75. 2	81.8	77.7	77. 9	76. 1 78. 8 78. 9	83. 8 76. 2	78.9
2.1	5 3 5 5	2. 7	4	1. 1	5. 2	2.0	2. 0	2.0	2.2 2.2 2.2	53	1.7
÷. 7	4.4	4. 9	5	3. 4	6. 0	3. 9	4. 0	4. 2	4. 1 4. 4 4. 0	5.3 4.2	4. 7
5. 4	5.5 11.5	13, 9	18. 4	6. 2	13. 7	9.7	12.8	13. 7	14.5 11.0 13.2	4.7 13.4	11. 9
378	378 410	423	468	412	443	424	436	446	446 427 445	394 437	436
3, 1	1 - 1 % %	2. 4	3, 6	2.9	2.9	2. 6	3, 5	2. 2	3.5 3.6 1.7	3.9	2.8
Sugar, cake flour, cocon, dextrose, shortening, enulsifiers, baking pow-	der, nonfat dry milk, salt, flavoring. do Sugar, cake flour, shortening with freshness preserver, cocoa (alkali processed), nonfat dry milk, emulsi-	for, leavening, salt, artificial flavor- ing, cellulose gum. Plour, shortening, preserver, Holland cocoa (alkali processed), leavening, salt, defatted milk solids, vegetable hydrocolloids, sodium phosphate, sodium carboxymethylcellulose, 64.P emulsifier.	Sugar, cake flour, shortening, wheat starch, sult, nonfat dry milk, baking	powder, Havoring, coloring. Sugar, cake flour, dextrose, shortening, emilsifiers, salt, baking powder, fla- voring, preservative, coloring.	Cake flour, sugar, emulsified shorten- ing, eggs, baking powder, nonfat milk solids, salt, flavor and color, oil of lemon.	Sugar, cake flour, emulsified shorten- ing, nonfat dry milk, baking powder,	sali, coloring, flavoring. Sugar, flour, emulsified shortening with freshness preserver, nonfat dry milk,	leavening, salt, coloring, flavoring, Sugar, cake flour, shortening, nonfat dry milk solids, leavening, salt,	dorma, coornig. dorman do dorman dorman	ends must be a soluted by a solution of the so	Sugar, cake flour, emulsified shortening, baking powder, egg white, salt, flavoring.
DEVU's FOOD: Type III: Brand A (9/59)	Do. (3/60) Brand B (5/61)	Brand C (5/61)	Pound: Type III: Brand B (9/60)	Brand C (8/59)	YELLOW: Type II: Brand A (7/56)	Type HI: Brand A (9/59)	Brand A (9/60)	Brand B (7/56)	Do. (9/59) Brand C (7/56) <sup>2</sup>	Do. (12/60) Brand I? (7/56)	WHITE: Type II: Brand A (7/56)

See footnotes at end of table.

			Compo	sition per	100 gram	is mix			Matching
0	Ingredients <sup>1</sup>	Mois- ture	Food energy	Fat	Protein	Ash	Carbo- hydrate (by dif- ference)	Ingredients to be added	prepared product (table 12)
1 	Sugar, cake flour, shortening, nonfat dry milk solids, leavening, salt,	Grams 2.2	Calories 447	Grams 13. 8	Grams 3. 8	Grams 1. 9	Grams 78. 3	lûgg whites, water.	Item No.
	flavoring. dodo Sugar, cake flour, shortening, nonfat dry milk solids, baking powder, salt,	4. 0 2. 5	430 444	12. 1 13. 3	4.4 3.9	2. 3 1. 8	77. 2 78. 5	op	
1	emulsifier, flavoring. Sugar, cake flour, shortening, nonfat dry milk solids, emulsifier, baking powder, salt, flavoring.	 8	435	12.8	00 1	2. 2	77. 4	do	
1	Sugar, flour, shortening, cocoa, salt,	3. 0	418	12.0	4. 8	1.5	78.7	Water, nuts	
       	dry egg solids, itavoring, leavening. do-	3.4	430	14.6	5.0	1.5	75.5	op	2]
1 1 1	Sugar, flour, shortening, cocoa, salt,	2.2	442	17.0	4. 2	1. 0	75.6	Egg, water,	
	leavening, flavoring. Sugar, flour, emulsified shortening,	2.4	433	15.2	3. 6	1.1	77.7	do	
	cocoa, soda, saut, navoring. Sugar, flour, shortening with freshness preserver, cocoa, salt, soda, flavoring.	2. 0	436	15.5	00 00	1. 2	77.5	op	22
1 1 1 1 1	Flour, sugar, shortening, baking powder, salt.	4. 2	493	24. 2	.0	1. 3	66. 8	Water or milk, or fruit juice; fla- voring.	
1	Sugar, flour, shortening, soy flour, salt, soda, flavoring.	4. 3 8	489	23. 1	3. 7	°0 •	68. 1	Water or egg, water.	26, 27
1	Flour, shortening, salt	6.4	532	33. 0	7.1	2. 2	51.3	Water or	
1	Flour, shortening with freshness pre-	7.5	524	32. 2	8.0	2.1	50.2	Cold water	
	server, salt, coloring. 	5. 1 6. 6	522 522	33. 1 31. 0	-1.0 -1.0	2. 0 1. 9	53. 8 53. 5	Boiling water	
	Ind the second s								

TABLE 13.--Proximate composition and labeled ingredients of market-purchased dry mixes-Continued

8	6 8 1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 1 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8	42 43							-				00			56, 57, 61		09	00	64	4 1	65	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Cold water -	Water Cold water	Water	Milk		- TE 11-	MIIK	do		Egg, water		Egg, milk	do		Mills 2000	Murk, cgg, shortening.	Milk, short- ening, or egg, milk, shortening.	Water					Water		
52.1	57. 7 55. 8	55. 8 54. 5	50, 3		L O S	08.7	71.3		71.1	70. 6	72. 6	72.7	0.11	ר ע ר	10.1	75. 4	65. 3	6 03	07. 9		72.5 46.7	72. 3	72. 2	$\frac{45.6}{71.6}$
1.9	51 57 51 57	2 T 10 10	2. 1		1	6 2	60 60		3, 2	2.9	9 7	5 0 0 0	0 Vi	د ۲	р С	5. 2		ن د	0 .0		2. 0 4. 0	2.0	1.5	3. 9 1. 6
7.7	5.5 6.1	5. 4 6. 2	6. 3		G	x é	7. 8		5.4	6. 0	6. 1	6.0	0, 4	с С	o xò	9. 2	6.5	0 1	6.9	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11.0 37.2	11. 2	10.6	40. 2
31.3	28. 2 28. 2	29. 7 30. 5	34.6		0 01	12. 0	12.1		14. 8	15.0	11.4	11.3	12. 3	0	¢ 	1.5	19. 2	1 10	21.1		5.9 4.9	5.9	6.9	4. 0 6. 8
522	509 504	514 519	538		5 A 7	416	427		440	439	419	418	424	000	005	358	458	0.07	406		394 309	393	399	307
7.0	6. 4 7. 7	6. 6 6. 4	6. 7		c	х, 4	5. 5		4. 9	5.5	7. 3	7.5	2.7	c c	ά X	8. 7	5.6	) I ) 1	5. 7		2 8 2 6	8.6	00 00	ကတ တွင်
Flour, shortening with freshness pre-	server, salt, natung powder. Flour, shortening, salt, baking powder Flour, shortening with freshness pre-	Flour, shortening, salt	Flour, shortening, sult, coloring		-	Flour, shortennig, sugar, noniat milk solids, phosphate baking powder, salt.	Plour, shortening, dextrose, nonfat dry milk, phosphate baking powder, salt.		Cake flour, sugar, degerminated corn meal, shortening, nonfat dry milk, leavening, salt.	do	Enriched corn meal, shortening, flour, sugar baking powder salt.		Degerminated yellow corn meal, nour, sugar, shortening with freshness pre- server, baking powder, salt.	-	Wheat, corn, oat, rye, and rice nours; eorn sugar, phosphate, salt, soda,	and nontat for the stand of the solution of th	Rhour shortoning sugar baking now-	der, egg yolk, nonfat milk solids, salt.	op	Flour, shortening, sugar, nonfat milk	Dry mix.	Mix, 99%, and yeast, 1%. Flour, shortening, sugar, yeast, dry	whey, salt. Dry mix	Dried yeast
Do. (9/60)	Brand D (7/56) Do. (12/60)	Brand E (8/59)	Type 11: Brand 13 (8/56)	BREADS	Type II:	Brand A (8/59)	Brand B (8/59)	True HI:	Brand A (8/59)	Do. (9/59)	Brand C (8/59)	$D_0$ . (9/59)	Do. (9/60)	Type III:	Brand A—plain (8/59) -	Brand A—buttermilk * (9/60).	VAFFLES: Trainer (2/50)	$f = \frac{1}{2} \int \partial (\partial (\partial \partial (\partial $	Do. (9/60)	Brand A (8/59)		Brand B (8/59)		

See footnotes at end of table.

4243Matehing prepared produet Item No. (table 12) Ingredients to be added Milk, egg yolk. - do-Milk\_ (by dif-ference) Grams 98. 3 hydrate ŝ 9 Carbo-84. 85. Grams 0.9 1.1 . 3 Ash Composition per 100 grams mix Grams 2 1-Protein -\_ 0 Grams 0.1  $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$  $^{\circ}$ Fat 11. 10. Calories 381 427 433energy Food Grams 0.1 1.4 1 Mois-ture 1 Sugar, dextrose, carragheen extract, salt, flavoring, coloring. -187 Sugar, eornstarch, eoconut, salt, nilla, flavoring, coloring. Ingredients<sup>1</sup> \_do\_ Description of sample Type III (8/59) - - and date received PIE FILLINGS Do. (4/61) --Type II (8/59).

TABLE 13.-Proximate composition and labeled ingredients of market-purchased dry mixes-Continued

<sup>1</sup> Ingredients are listed in descending order by weight, as given on package labels.
 <sup>2</sup> Each box of mix yielded one 8- or 9-inch layer of cake. All other brands of this mix type yielded two 8- or 9-inch layers.
 <sup>3</sup> Physiologically unavailable.

Water, but-

4

86.

00

408

8

Sugar, dextrose, cocoa, shortening, non-fat milk solids, salt, flavoring. Sugar, dextrose, shortening, cocoa, salt,

Brand A (8/59) (choco-late butter eream). Brand B (9/59) (choco-

Type I:

FROSTINGS

flavoring.

late fudge).

ter.

Water ...

85.1

0 ı۵

0 ŝ

сć N

4

2 6

385

3.1

Brownie mixes containing egg solids (Type I) were higher in moisture, protein, and ash, and lower in fat than Type III mixes, to which eggs were added during preparation for baking. After baking, the Type I mix was still lower in fat and also slightly lower in protein than the Type III mix. The two brands of cookie mix analyzed were reasonably close in proximate composition, except that the component ash was more than 50 percent higher in brand A, the mix that contained baking powder rather than soda.

Four brands of pie crust mix, which were analyzed in both 1956 and 1960, and crust mix from a dry pack of coconut pie, analyzed in both 1959 and 1961, changed little in content of most proximate components. Protein in four of the five brands increased by about 10 percent between the 2 years.

Two brands of biscuit mix had essentially the same composition. Corn muffin mixes also showed good agreement for all proximate components but fat, which was more than 20 percent higher in brand A than in brand C.

Finished products made from mixes, except for sugar cookies, were higher in moisture content per 100 grams and lower in caloric value and carbohydrate than were the dry mixes from which they were prepared. The amount and composition of ingredients which were added to the mixes determined changes in content of fat, protein, and ash.

#### Other Changes in Proximate Composition With Baking

Pie crusts from baked filled pies, both homemade and made-from-mix, were usually lower in fat and higher in moisture than would be expected from calculations of percentages of fat and water used in their formulation. Similar observations were made on crusts from frozen apple and coconut pies, for which proximate data were obtained both before (table 14) and after (table 12) baking. Other proximate values in these crusts, except for protein in coconut crusts, showed a corresponding de-crease. Fillings of both frozen pies decreased in moisture with baking, while other proximate components, with the exception of protein in coconut filling, showed a corresponding increase. Although total weights of these forms of pies decreased because of evaporation during baking and cooling, in most instances the crusts gained in weight. As a result, the proportion of crust to filling in these pies increased with baking. It was evident that moisture shifted from fillings to crusts during the time pies were baked and cooled.

To examine the effect of baking on the pie shell alone, proximate data were obtained on one lot of homemade pie crust which had been divided; one-half was analyzed unbaked and the other half was analyzed after being baked without a filling. Moisture content dropped from 10.2 percent in the unbaked to 0.7 percent in the baked crust; fat increased from 38.2 percent in the unbaked to 41.8 percent in the baked shell. Fat in the baked crust, calculated from the amount of fat included in the dough and allowing for weight losses during baking, would be 42.8 percent. As determined by acid hydrolysis, analyzed fat content of the total baked shell, plus the very small amount (<0.1 percent) of fat remaining on the pan after baking, equaled 98 percent of the predicted value.

Moisture losses during baking in other ready-tobake items (tables 12 and 14) were usually between 20 and 30 percent, although frozen waffles lost only 12 percent moisture during toasting and cookies baked from commercial refrigerated dough lost as much as 75 percent. Other proximate nutrients increased correspondingly in value, depending on the moisture loss.

#### **Composition of Frosted Cakes and Brownies**

Frozen and bakery devil's food and yellow cakes and frozen brownies contained butter-cream frostings as received in the laboratory. These frostings were removed and analyzed separately, thereby making it possible to calculate the total composition of frosted cakes (table 15) and to make observations on some differences in nutritive value between plain and frosted cakes. Frostings included with these cakes nearly always contained equal or greater percentages of fat and carbohydrate and less protein and ash than the matching cakes.

The proximate composition of frosted cake depends not only on the separate compositions of cake and frosting, but also on the proportions of cake to frosting in the finished product. Proportions of frosting in the total cake ranged from 25 percent of the weight in frozen brand A devil's food (which also contained 23 percent cream filling) to 49 percent in bakery yellow cake. Frozen brownies were 24 percent frosting. In this investigation, frosted cake per 100-gram portion in most instances was slightly higher in caloric value and fat and correspondingly lower in protein and ash than was plain cake. Per serving, the addition of frosting to a cake in the amounts used on these frozen and bakery cakes resulted in increases in fat, carbohydrate, and energy values ranging from 50 to more than 100 percent. The caloric value per serving of frosted cake was thus approximately equal to that for a serving of pie, and nearly three times higher than that for plain angel or plain pound cake.

					4	2			-					1
		I	00-gran	portio	-			Ind	ividual se	rving b	y volum	10 1		Mateh- ing
Description of sample and date received	Mois- ture	Food energy	Fat	Pro- tein	Ash	Carbo- hydrate (by dif- ference)	Weight	Mois- ture	Food energy	Fat	Pro- tein	Ash	Carbo- hydrate (by dif- ferenec)	baked produet (table 12)
Sugar сооктеs: Chilled in roll (8/59)	Grams 13. 6 14. 4	Calories 449 443	Grams 22. 6 22. 3	<i>Grams</i> 3. 5 3. 9	Grams 1. 5 1. 7	Grams 58. 8 57. 7	Grams 12 12	Grams 1. 6 1. 7	Calories 54 53	Grams 2.7 2.7	Grams 0. 4 . 5	Grams 0. 2 . 2	Grams 7. 1 6. 9	Item No.
Pres: Apple: Frozen, Brand A (9/59): FustFilling Crust, 40%, and filling, 60%	16.6 68.2 47.6	482 118 264	30.8 .1 .12.4	4 4 1 0 1	1. 5 1. 1 1. 1	46. 7 30. 7 37. 0	$\frac{43}{64}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7. \\ 43. \\ 50. 7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 207\\76\\283\end{array}$	$13. \ 2 \\ . \ 1 \\ . \ 1 \\ . \ 3 \\$	1. 9 . 1 2. 0		20. 2 19. 7 39. 9	
Frozen, Brand B (8/59): Crust Filling Crust, 30%, and filling, 70%	19. 7 72. 0 56. 3	$456 \\ 106 \\ 210$	27. 7 . 0 8. 3	4. 7 . 2 1. 6	1. 3 . 3 . 6	46. 6 27. 5 33. 2	$37 \\ 85 \\ 122$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.3\\ 61.2\\ 68.5\end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{c}       169 \\       90 \\       259     \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 10.2\\ 0\\ 10.2 \end{array}$	1. 7 . 2 1. 9	10 m 20	$   \begin{array}{c}     17.3 \\     23.3 \\     40.6   \end{array} $	34
Cherry: Frozen, Brand A (8/59): Crust Filling Crust, 37%, and filling, 63%	17. 2 65. 7 47. 8	469     131     256	28. 6 10. 6	4.3 .6 1.9	1. 4 . 8	48. 5 33. 2 38. 9	$ \begin{array}{c} 38\\ 65\\ 103 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 6.5 \\ 42.7 \\ 49.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}178\\85\\263\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}10.9\\.1\\.1\\.11.0\end{array}$	1. 6 . 4 2. 0	بې دې دي. د د بې مي	18.5 21.5 40.0	
Coconut: Frozen, Brand A (9/59): Crust Filling Crust, 24%, and filling, 76%	17. 0 64. 2 52. 9	460 167 237	$\begin{array}{c} 26.7\\ 6.1\\ 11.0\end{array}$	4.9 3.4 3.4	1. 5 . 9 1. 0	49. 9 25. 9 31. 7	$25 \\ 80 \\ 105$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.\ 2 \\ 51.\ 4 \\ 55.\ 6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}116\\134\\250\end{array}$	6. 7 4. 9 11. 6	1.2 3.5	. 4 . 7 1. 1	$   \begin{array}{c}     12.5 \\     20.7 \\     33.2   \end{array} $	
Frozen, Brand B (8/59): Crust Filling Crust, 20%, and filling, 80%	21. 7 67. 1 58. 0	432 148 205	24. 6 4. 5 8. 5	$ \frac{4}{5} $	1. 4 1. 1 1. 2	47. 4 22. 0 27. 1	$32 \\ 130 \\ 162$	6.9 87.2 94.1	$138 \\ 192 \\ 330$	7.9 5.8 13.7	1. 6 6. 9 8. 5	1, 4 1, 8	$\begin{array}{c} 15.\ 2\\ 28.\ 7\\ 43.\ 9\end{array}$	44
Pie crust: Shell, homemade (11/60)	10. 2	544	38. 2	6.3	1. 3	44. 0	40	4. 1	218	15.3	2.5	· 5	17.6	47
BREADS: Baking powder biscuits: Refrigerated, ehemically leavened: Brand A (9/59)	37. 5	277	6. 4	7. 2	2. 4	46. 5	49	18.4	136	3. 1	3. J	1. 2	22. 8	
Brand C (8/59) Do. (9/60)	38. 4 38. 4	260 261	4. 2 4. 4	7. 3 7. 1	3. 0 3. 1	47. 1 47. 0	47	18. 0 18. 0	$122 \\ 123$	2.0 2.1		1. 4 1. 5	22. 2 22. 1	
Frozen (9/59)	30.9	327 320	11. 9 11. 6	5. 7 6. 3	5 0 5 0	48. 9 47. 2	65 65	20. 1 20. 8	$213 \\ 208 $	7.5	3.74.1	1. 7 1. 9	31.8 30.7	51

TABLE 14.-Proximate composition of ready-to-bake food items

58	62	66	67	-	68	
50. 6 51, 2	33. 2 32. 4	14.1	14.7	14.9	15.7	
4.7	22.1		то	÷.	2.	
8, 6 6, 4	5, 5 5, 0	5.3	2. 3	2. 6	2, 5	able 6.)
3. 6 6. 4	4, 9 5, 5	1. 7	1.6	1.7	2. 1	(See to
270 289	200 199	82	83	87	93	eference.
60. 5 59. 3	33. 3 34. 0	11.4	11.9	9. 3	10, 2	rm as r
128	62 62	30	31	29	31	emade fo
39. 5 40. 0	42. 1 41, 0	47. 0	47. 4	51.5	50.5	mod lom
2.7	55 Q	1. 6	1. 6	1. 7	1. 7	al servi
6. 7 5. 0	7, 0 6, 3	7. 7	7.5	9.0	8. 0	ndividu
5.0	6. 2 6. 9	5.7	5.0	5.8	6. 8	nne of i
211 226	$253 \\ 252 \\ 252 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	274	268	299	209	dov guist
47. 3 46. 3	42. l 43. l	38. 0	38, 5	32. 0	33. 0	aking, 1
Paneakos: Frozen (8/59) Do. (9/60)	Waffles: Frozen, Brand A (8/59) Do. (9/60)	Yeast rolls; Frozen, Brand A (8/59)	Frozen, Brand B (8/59)	Brown-and-serve, Brand A (9/59).	Brown-and-serve, Brand B (8/59) -	<sup>1</sup> Based on weight of serving after l

.

		1	00-gran	n portio	IJ			Indi	ividual se	rving b	y volum	e 2	
Description of sample and date received	Mois- ture	Food energy	Pat	Pro- tein	Ash	Carbo- hydrate (by dif- ference)	Weight	Mois- ture	Food energy	Fat	Pro- tein	Ash	Carbo- hydrate (by dif- ference)
DEVIL'S FOOD CAKES: Frozen: Brand A (9/59): Cake. Frosting Filling (whipped cream) Cake, 52%; frosting, 25%; and filling, 23%.	Grams 29.5 12.3 42.3 29.7 29.7	Calories 328 408 428 371	<i>Grams</i> 13. 7 20. 8 41. 6 21. 9	Grams 5.0 1.9 3.5 3.5	Grams 1. 6 . 8 . 4 1. 1	Grams 50. 2 57. 8 13. 9 43. 8	Grams 49 24 25 95	Grams 14.5 1.4.5 9.3 28.3	Calories 161 98 94 353	Grams 6. 7 5. 0 9. 3 20. 9	Grams 2.5 .5 3.4	Grams 0.8 0.8 .1	Grams 24. 5 13. 8 3. 0 41. 3
Brand B (8/59): Cake Frosting	24. 7 13. 8 21. 0	$368 \\ 405 \\ 381$	$\begin{array}{c} 18. \ 0\\ 16. \ 8\\ 17. \ 6\end{array}$	4 12 Cr 5 Cr 25	1.8 1.0 1.5	50.3 65.9 55.6	70 36 106	$\begin{array}{c} 17.3 \\ 5.0 \\ 22.3 \end{array}$	$258 \\ 146 \\ 404$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.\ 6\\ 6.\ 0\\ 18.\ 6\end{array}$	3.6	1. 3 1. 7	35. 2 23. 7 58. 9
Bakery: Brand A (8/59): Cake Frosting (white) Cake, 70%, and frosting, 30%	21. 6 15. 2 19. 7	$341 \\ 402 \\ 359$	$\begin{array}{c} 9. \ 9 \\ 15. \ 4 \\ 11. \ 6 \end{array}$	4.8.4. 7.4.8.	1. 7 1. 0 1. 5	$\begin{array}{c} 62. \\ 65. \\ 0\\ 62. \\ 9\end{array}$	55 24 79	$ \begin{array}{c} 11.9\\ 3.6\\ 15.5 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 188\\96\\284\end{array}$	5.4 3.7 9.1	3.4 3.4	. 9 1. 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 34. \\ 15. \\ 15. \\ 49. \\ 9 \end{array} $
Brand C (9/59): Cake	27. 3 14. 7 21. 3	$\begin{array}{c} 324\\ 376\\ 349\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11.8\\ 13.9\\ 12.8\end{array}$	3.50 3.850 8.850	1.9 .9 1.4	54.0 68.0 60.7							
YELLOW CAKES: Bakery (9/59): Cake Frosting Cake, 51 %, and frosting, 49 %	25.6 14.2 20.0	360 387 373	14. 7 14. 5 14. 6	4.5 3.6 6	2. 0 . 8 1. 4	53. 2 67. 9 60. 4	68 65 133	$     \begin{array}{c}       17.4 \\       9.2 \\       26.6     \end{array} $	$245 \\ 252 \\ 497$	$   \begin{array}{c}     10.0 \\     9.4 \\     19.4   \end{array} $	3.1 1.7 4.8	$1.4 \\5 \\ 1.9$	36. 1 44. 2 80. 3
Brownies: Frozen (9/59): Brownie Frosting Brownie, 76%, and frosting, 24%	13. 1 10. 8 12. 5	$422 \\ 408 \\ 419$	21. 5 17. 7 20. 6	5. 7 4. 9	1.3 1.3 1.3	58. 4 68. 0 60. 7	23 7 30	8 8 0 5 5 5	97 29 126	4. 9 6. 1 6. 1	1. 3 1. 5	с. <del>.</del>	13. 5 4. 7 18. 2

<sup>1</sup> Chocolate frosting except where otherwise indicated. <sup>2</sup> Volume of individual serving of homemade form of cake without frosting was used as reference. (See table 6.)

TABLE 15.—Proximate composition of ready-to-serve cakes and brownies, with and without frosting <sup>1</sup> and filling

#### Mineral Content of Selected Dry Mixes

Of the eight minerals (calcium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, phosphorus, sodium, and potassium) determined in cake and brownie mixes, those found in greatest concentration were phosphorus, sodium, potassium, and sometimes calcium (table 16). These elements are the ones most likely to be contributed by baking powder, salt, soda, and other leavenings, and are found in considerably higher levels in basic ingredients (flour, milk, eggs, cocoa) than are the other minerals studied (3, 13).

Calcium was high in either the flour mix or the egg-white mix of angel food cake, but not in both.

Phosphorus varied with the calcium for these mixes, an indication of the use of chemical leavening agents. According to Harrel (4), anhydrous monocalcium phosphate is included in the eggwhite portion, and cream of tartar in the flour portion, of the angel food mix. Data presented here (table 16) indicate, however, that manufacturers differ in the portion of the mix to which they add the calcium phosphate, and that the flour mix which contained calcium phosphate did not, according to potassium content, also contain cream of tartar. The potassium of the egg-white mix could all have been contributed by potassium in the egg white, assuming the mix contained 31 percent egg albumin (4).

Description of sample and date	Total			Element o	content pe	r 100 grai	ns of mix		
received	solids	Ca	Mg	Р	Cu	Fe	Mn	Na ′,	K 1
ANGEL FOOD CAKE: Brand A (9/59): Flour mix Egg mix Brand B (9/59): Flour wir	Percent 98. 0 98. 3	Mg. 20 202	Mg. 10 21	Mg. ( <sup>2</sup> ) 247	Mg. 0. 11 . 12	Mg. 0.4 .4	Mg. 0. 2 ( <sup>2</sup> )	Mg. 116 270 200	Mg. 75 277
Egg mix	98. 0 98. 6	35	10 24	( <sup>2</sup> )	. 11 . 10	. ə . 5	( <sup>2</sup> ). 2	200 265	$26 \\ 246$
Devil's food Cake: Brand A (9/59)	96. 9	35	37	172	. 28	2. 1	. 3	514	139
POUND CAKE: Brand C (8/59)	97.1	20	9	133	. 10	. 7	. 3	284	43
Yellow CAKE: Brand A (9/59)	97.4	143	12	275	. 11	. 8	. 3	415	78
Brand B (9/59)	96.5	136	10	242	. 12	1.4	. 3	350	89
BROWNIES: Type I (9/59)	97. 0	21	46	105	. 30	1. 2	. 4	299	163
Type III: Brand A (9/59)	97.8	69	63	124	. 41	2. 5	. 5	158	167
Brand B (8/59)	97.6	17	41	100	. 71	1.2	. 3	230	131

TABLE 16.-Mineral content of cake and brownie mixes, as purchased

<sup>1</sup> Flame photometer determination.

<sup>2</sup> Below the level detectable on the spectrograph.

Calcium was low in the devil's food cake mix which, according to the label, contained nonfat milk solids. The protein and calcium contents of this mix indicated the amount of milk solids present was low. Calcium levels in yellow cake mixes were four times that of the one devil's food mix. Magnesium, copper, iron, and potassium were high in the mixes containing cocoa. Manganese levels were low and varied little among the mixes.

Although commercial cakes as a whole contained more ash than corresponding homemade items, cakes made from mixes, except for devil's food, contained amounts of total ash equal to or less than the homemade. The content of the four major minerals in homemade and made-from-mix cakes and brownies was estimated from data for the sodium, potassium, calcium, and phosphorus content of individual ingredients ( $\beta$ ,  $1\beta$ ) and from data reported in table 16 for the mineral content of the mixes. As calculated, mix angel food cakes, which depended on calcium phosphate for leavening, were considerably higher in calcium and phosphorus and lower in potassium than the homemade cake; estimated values for calcium in the homemade, brand A, and brand B made-frommix cakes were 6, 53, and 104 mg., respectively, per 100 grams baked cake. Corresponding potassium values were 21, 53, and 129 mg. Of the mixes studied, only the devil's food cake (381 mg.) was equal to the homemade form (389 mg.) in sodium content of 100 grams baked cake; other mixes were considerably lower in sodium than were the homemade items. Sodium in the homemade cake could be adjusted, of course, by decreasing the salt content or changing the kind of baking powder.

On the average, cakes and brownies made from mixes were about equal to homemade in calcium and phosphorus content and contained about two-thirds the sodium and potassium levels of the homemade. Average values for the homemade and made-from-mix items, in milligrams per 100 grams baked weight, were, respectively, calcium, 57 and 69; phosphorus, 126 and 154; sodium, 366 and 232; and potassium, 174 and 123.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- (1) ASP, E., NOBLE, I., and CLARK, F.
  - 1957. PILOT STUDY OF MONEY AND TIME SPENT IN PREPARING BAKED PRODUCTS FROM INDIVIDUAL AND PREMIXED INGREDIENTS. Jour. Home Econ. 49: 717-719.
- (2) Association of Official Agricultural Chemists. 1960. Official methods of analysis. Ed. 9, 832 pp., illus. Washington, D.C.
- (3) BILLS, C. E., MCDONALD, F. G., NIEDERMEIER, W., and Schwartz, M. C.
  - 1949. sodium and potassium in foods and waters. Jour. Amer. Diet. Assoc. 25: 304-314.
- (4) HARREL, C. G.
  - 1959. MANUFACTURE OF PREPARED MIXES. In Matz, S., Ed., Chemistry and Technology of Cereals as Food and Feed, pp. 321-368. Westport, Conn.
- 5) HARRIS, R. G., and DWOSKIN, P. B.
  - 1958. CONVENIENCE FOODS AND THEIR COST TO CONSUMERS. U.S. Agr. Market. Serv. Bul. 267, 7 pp., illus.
- 6) HOPKINS, H. T., MURPHY, E. W., and SMITH, D. P. 1961. MINERALS AND PROXIMATE COMPOSITION OF ORGAN MEATS. JOUR. Amer. Diet. Assoc. 38: 344-349.

(7) KOLMER, L., and GARTNER, J.

1961. CONSUMER MARKETING BULLETIN. IV. TIME AND MONEY ECONOMIES FOR SELECTED FOODS IN VARIOUS STAGES OF PREPARA-TION. Coop. Ext. Serv. in Agr. and Home Econ., Iowa State Univ. 22 pp. 8) LEBOVIT, C., and CLARK, F.

1956. HOUSEHOLD PRACTICES IN THE USE OF FOODS—THREE CITIES, 1953. U.S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Inf. Bul. 146, 90 pp.

(9) LOWE, B.

- 1958. EXPERIMENTAL COOKERY. Ed. 4, 573 pp., illus. New York.
- (10) MERRILL, A. L., and WATT, B. K.

1955. ENERGY VALUE OF FOODS—BASIS AND DERIVATION. U.S. Dept. Agr. Handb. 74, 105 pp.

- (11) STITT, K.
   1957. FREQUENCY OF USE OF PREPARED MIXES. Jour. Amer. Diet. Assoc. 33: 596-599.
- (12) UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE. 1958. HOME BAKING BY HOUSEHOLDS IN THE UNITED STATES BY REGION. HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION SURVEY 1955. Rpt. 13, 130 pp.
- (13) WATT, B. K., and MERRILL, A. L.
  - 1950. COMPOSITION OF FOODS—RAW, PROCESSED, PREPARED. U.S. Dept. Agr. Handb. 8, 147 pp.
- (14) WEISS, G.
  - 1954. TIME AND MONEY COSTS OF MEALS USING HOME- AND PREKITCHEN-PREPARED FOODS. Jour. Home Econ. 46: 98-100.

